



































Calculating wavelet coefficients at every possible scale is a fair amount of work, and it generates an awful lot of data. What if we choose only a subset of scales and positions at which to make our calculations?

It turns out, rather remarkably, that if we choose scales and positions based on powers of two — so-called *dyadic* scales and positions — then our analysis will be much more efficient and just as accurate. We obtain just such an analysis from the *discrete wavelet transform* (DWT).

02/6/03

ECE 178: a wavelet tour

Approximations and Details

The approximations are the high-scale, low-frequency components of the signal. The details are the low-scale, high-frequency components. The filtering process, at its most basic level, looks like this:



Downsampling Unfortunately, if we actually perform this operation on a real digital signal, we wind up with twice as much data as we started with. Suppose, for instance, that the original signal S consists of 1000 samples of data. Then the approximation and the detail will each have 1000 samples,

for a total of 2000.

To correct this problem, we introduce the notion of *downsampling*. This simply means throwing away every second data point. While doing this introduces *aliasing* in the signal components, it turns out we can account for this later on in the process.

02/6/03

ECE 178: a wavelet tour

20

Downsampling (2)

ECE 178: a wavelet tour

21

02/6/03

















Reconstructing As and Ds..contd..

Note that the coefficient vectors cA1 and cD1 — because they were produced by downsampling, contain aliasing distortion, and are only half the length of the original signal — cannot directly be combined to reproduce the signal. It is necessary to reconstruct the approximations and details before combining them.

02/6/03

ECE 178: a wavelet tour

31

Reconstructing the signal $s = A_1 + D_1$ $a_1 + D_2 + D_1$ $a_1 + D_2 + D_1$ $a_2 + D_3 + D_2 + D_1$ $a_3 + D_2 + D_1$



