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Hardware Implementation Strategies
“When I’m away from my desk it goes into sleep mode...but the snoring annoys my coworkers!”

“Crashing is an expression of hostility against your network administrator. Though you appear to be uncooperative, it's actually a desperate cry for help.”
Multilevel Model of Dependable Computing

Legend:
- Entry
- Deviation
- Remedy
- Tolerance

Ideal → Defective → Faulty → Erroneous → Malfunctioning → Degraded → Failed

Unimpaired → Low-Level Impaired → Mid-Level Impaired → High-Level Impaired
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Hardware-Based Tolerance/Recovery Methods

**Data path methods:**
- Replication in space (costly)
  - Duplicate and compare
  - Triplicate and vote
  - Pair-and-spare
  - NMR/hybrid
- Replication in time (slow?)
  - Recompute and compare
  - Recompute and vote
  - Alternating logic
  - Recompute after shift
  - Recompute after swap
  - Replicate operand segments
- Mixed space-time replication
- Monitoring (imperfect coverage)
  - Watchdog timer
  - Activity monitor
- Low-redundancy coding
  - Parity prediction
  - Residue checking
  - Self-checking design

**Control unit methods:**
- Coding of control signals
- Control-flow watchdog
- Self-checking design

**Glue logic methods:**
- Self-checking design
Replication of Data-Path Elements in Space

The following schemes have already been discussed in connection with fault tolerance:

- **Duplicate and compare**
  - ![Diagram of duplicate and compare scheme]

- **Triplicate and vote**
  - ![Diagram of triplicate and vote scheme]

- **Pair-and-spare**
  - ![Diagram of pair-and-spare scheme]

- **NMR/Hybrid**
  - ![Diagram of NMR/Hybrid scheme]
Main Drawback of Replication in Time

Can be slow, but in many control applications, extra time is available

Interleaving of the primary and duplicate computations saves time

Computation flowgraph, and schedule with 2 adders
Recompute and Compare/Vote

Repeat computation and store the results for comparison or voting

Comparison or voting need not be done right away; primary result may be used in further computations, with the result subsequently validated, if appropriate

On a simultaneous multithreading architecture, two instruction streams may be interspersed

Some Cray machines take advantage of extensive hardware resources to execute instructions twice
Alternating Logic: Basic Ideas

Transmission of data over unreliable wires or buses
  Send data; store at receiving end
  Send bitwise complement of data
  Compare the two versions
  Detects wires s-a-0 or s-a-1, as well as many transients

The dual of a Boolean function $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is another function $f_d(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $f_d(x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_n') = f'(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$

Fact: Obtain the dual of $f$ by exchanging AND and OR operators in its logical expression. For example, the dual of $f = ab \lor c$ is $f_d = (a \lor b)c$

Advantages of this approach compared to duplication include a smaller probability of common errors
Alternating Logic: Self-Dual Functions

A function $f$ is self-dual if $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = f_d(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$

For example, both the sum $a \oplus b \oplus c$ and carry $ab \lor bc \lor ca$ outputs of a full-adder are self-dual functions.

With a self-dual function $f$, the functions $f$ and $f_d$ in the diagram above can be computed by using the same circuit twice (time redundancy).

Many functions of practical interest are self-dual.

**Examples** (proofs left as exercise)
A $k$-bit binary adder, with $2k + 1$ inputs and $k + 1$ outputs, is self-dual. So are 1’s-complement and 2’s-complement versions of such an adder.
Recomputing with Transformed Operands

Alternating logic is a special case of the following general scheme, with its encoding and decoding functions being bitwise complementation.

Recompute after shift
When $f$ is binary addition, we can use shifts for encoding and decoding. Shifting causes the adder circuits to be exercised differently each time. Originally proposed for ALUs with bit-slice organization.

Recompute after swap
When $f$ is binary addition, we can use swaps for encoding and decoding. Swap the two operands; e.g., compute $b + a$ instead of $a + b$. Swap upper and lower halves of the two operands (modified adder).
Time-Redundant, Segmented Addition

Instead of using a $k$-bit adder twice for error detection or 3 times for error correction, one can segment the operands into 2 or 3 parts and similarly segment the adder; perform replicated addition on operand segments and use comparison/voting to detect/correct error.

Sum computed in two cycles:
The lower half in cycle 1, and the upper half in cycle 2

Various other segmentation schemes have been suggested

**Example**: 16-bit adder with 4-way segmentation and voting

Townsend, Abraham, and Swartzlander, 2003
Mixed Space-Time Replication

Instead of duplicating the computation with no hardware change (slow) or duplicating the entire hardware (costly), we can add some hardware to make the interleaved recomputations more efficient.

Consider the effect of including a second adder.
Monitoring via Watchdog Timers

Monitor or watchdog is a hardware unit that checks on the activities of a function unit.

Watchdog is usually much simpler, and thus more reliable, than the unit it monitors.

Watchdog timer counts down, beginning from a preset number. It expects to be preset periodically by the unit that it monitors. If the count reaches 0, the watchdog timer raises an exception flag.

Watchdog timer can also help in monitoring unit interactions. When one unit sends a request or message, it sets a watchdog timer. If no response arrives within the allotted time, a failure is assumed.

Watchdog timer obviously does not detect all problems. It verifies “liveness” of the unit it monitors (good with fail-silent units). Often used in conjunction with other tolerance/recovery methods.
Activity Monitor

Watchdog unit monitors events occurring in, and activities performed by, the function unit (e.g., event frequency and relative timing)

Observed behavior is compared against expected behavior

The type of monitoring is highly application-dependent
Design with Parity Codes and Parity Prediction

Operands and results are parity-encoded
Parity is not preserved over arithmetic and logic operations

Parity prediction is an alternative to duplication

Compared to duplication:
Parity prediction often involves less overhead in time and space
The protection offered by parity prediction is not as comprehensive
Parity Prediction for an Adder

Operand A: 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 Parity 0
Operand B: 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 Parity 1

\[ A \oplus B = 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 \]

Carries: 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 Parity 0
Sum S: 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 Parity 1

\[ p(S) = p(A) \oplus p(B) \oplus c_0 \oplus c_1 \oplus c_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus c_k \]

Parity predictor for our adder consists of a duplicate carry network and an XOR tree.
Coding of Control Signals

Encode the control signals using a separable code (e.g., Berger code).
Either check in every cycle, or form a signature over multiple cycles.

In a microprogrammed control unit, store the microinstruction address and compare against MicroPC contents to detect sequencing errors.
Control-Flow Watchdog

Watchdog unit monitors the instructions executed and their addresses (for example, by snooping on the bus)

The watchdog unit may have certain info about program behavior
   Control flow graph (valid branches and procedure calls)
   Signatures of branch-free intervals (consecutive instructions)
   Valid memory addresses and required access privileges

In an application-specific system, watchdog info is preloaded in it
For a GP system, compiler can insert special watchdog directives

Overheads of control-flow checking
   Wider memory due to the need for tag bits to distinguish word types
   Additional memory to store signatures and other watchdog info
   Stolen processor/bus cycles by the watchdog unit
Preview of Self-Checking Design

Covered in next lecture

Function unit designed such that internal faults manifest themselves as an invalid output

Can remove this checker if we do not expect both units to fail and Function unit 2 translates any noncodeword input into noncode output

Output of multiple checkers may be combined in self-checking manner