Semiconductor Lasers

ECE 162C Lecture #11 Prof. John Bowers

Read Kasip, Chapters 3,4

Presentations

- Please prepare a 10 minute presentation (max 10 slides) on a topic of current research.
- The grade is based on your ability to teach your classmates, not how much material can be covered, or how complex your derivation is.
- Schedule next Monday.
- Look at recent OFC proceedings, Optics Express, PTL to see current topics.
- Examples:
 - Quantum communication
 - Single photon transmission/reception
 - Multilevel communication
 - GaInAsNSb lasers
 - Quantum cascade lasers
 - Tunable lasers
- Handout original paper and copies of slides (4/page). Christine can make copies for you.

Laser Requirements

- Confinement of the optical mode
 - Transverse
 - Lateral (rib, strip, gain guided)
 - Longitudinal (cleaved facets, rings, DFB, DBR,...)
- Confinement of carriers
 - Heterojunction, etched, no confinement (implanted, diffused,...)
- Confinement of current
 - Oxide
 - Homojunction
 - PN junction
 - Semi-insulating

Laser Requirements

- Large Bandwidth
- High Modulation efficiency
- Low Intensity Noise
- Large Temperature Range
- Low Distortion
- Low Reflection Sensitivity
- Low Chirp



Single Mode Lasers

- At least 3 cm⁻¹ of gain difference between the dominant mode and other modes is required.
 - Less gain difference: The laser may lase cw in a single mode, but lases in multiple modes when modulated.
 - More gain difference necessary to achieve 40 dB sidemode suppression under 100% modulation.

Bandwidth Limiting Factors

- Resonance Frequency
 - Current or power limited
- Damping
 - Spectral hole burning or
 - carrier heating limited
- Transport
 - Diffusion or tunneling limited
- Parasitics
 - Capacitance and resistance limited
- Microwave Effects
 - Microwave loss limited



Rate Equations

Neglecting the phase of the optical field, the length dependence of the carrier and photon densities, and the modal dependence; the rate equations for the averaged photon and carrier densities become:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\Gamma v_g a (N - N_{tr})}{1 + \varepsilon S} S - \frac{S}{\tau_p} + \frac{\beta \Gamma N}{\tau_n}$$
$$\frac{dN}{dN} I = \frac{V_g a (N - N_{tr})}{I - V_p a (N - N_{tr})} = \frac{N}{1 - \varepsilon N}$$

$$\frac{dt}{dt} = \eta_i \frac{1}{qV} - \frac{g}{1 + \varepsilon S} S - \frac{T}{\tau_n}$$

Rate equations: Small Signal Approximation

Making the usual small signal approximation $I = I_0 + ie^{j\omega t}$

$$S = S_0 + se^{j\omega t}$$

$$N = N_0 + ne^{j\omega t}$$

We find the small-signal modulation response of the laser:

$$H(f) = \frac{s(f)}{i(f)} = \frac{s(0)}{i(0)} \frac{f_0^2}{f_0^2 - f^2 + jff_d}$$

T. Ikegafhil 62CY. Suematsu, Elecron. Comm. Jap. 51-B, 51 (1968)

Rate Equation: Intrinsic Frequency Response

The damping frequency is:

$$f_d \approx \frac{\varepsilon S}{2\pi\tau_p}$$

The resonance frequency is at the geometric mean of the photon and carrier lifetimes:

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{\tau_p \tau_n^{stim}}}$$

J.E. Bowers, Solid State Electronics, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1-11(1987)

Rate Equations: Resonance Frequency

The photon lifetime, typically on the order of 1ps, is given by:

$$\tau_p = \frac{1}{\nu_s \left(\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2L} \ln\left(\frac{1}{R_1 R_2}\right)\right)}$$

Far above threshold, spontaneous emission can be neglected, and the stimulated electron lifetime becomes:

$$\tau_n^{stim} = \frac{1}{v_s a S}$$

From the previous we get the following expression for the resonance frequency:

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{v_g a S}{\tau_p}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{v_g a \eta}{\tau_p}} (I - I_{th})$$

Note that the peak in modulation response is not at f_0 , but at f_p , given by:

$$f_p^2 = f_0^2 - \frac{f_d^2}{4}$$

J.E. Bowers, Solid State Electronics, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1-11(1987)

K.Y. Latafid¹A² Yariv, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-21, 121(1985)

Modulation Response

The resonance frequency and modulation bandwidth depends on the output power:

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\nu_s a S}{\tau_p}} = D \sqrt{P}$$



Depending on the application, different bandwidths are specified. From the general expression for the modulation response we find:



J.E. Bowere, B.R. Hemenway, A.H. Gnauck and D.P. Wilt, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-22, 833(1986)

Resonance Frequency Limitations

For most lasers the resonance frequency is limited by the current density due to:

- Leakage currents due to breakdown of p-n junctions or semi-insulating layers
- Conduction across the active layer at high current densities
- Heating (proportional to I²R)
- For a given current density higher resonance frequency is obtained with:
- Higher differential gain
- Shorter cavity length
- ECE 162C
- Small mode volume

Facet Coatings



HR coating increases modulation bandwidth

A. Maret ab CElectron. Lett. 26 (17), 1382 (1990)

Bandwidth Limiting Factors

- Resonance Frequency
 - Current or power limited
- Damping
 - Spectral hole burning or carrier heating limited
- Transport
 - Diffusion or tunneling limited
- Parasitics
 - Capacitance and resistance limited

ECE Microwave Effects

- NAinana limitad

Limits to Bandwidth: Damping

Modulation bandwidth is limited by damping due to non-linear gain.

 $g=g_0/(1+\varepsilon S)$

Important causes of nonlinear gain are:

- Spectral hole burning
- Carrier heating



Damping

Damping is described by the damping factor K, defined as:

$$f_d = K f_0^2$$

It can be shown that

$$K = 4\pi^2 \left(\tau_p + \frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right)$$

Damping limits the maximum bandwidth to:

$$f_{3dB}^{\max} = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{2}}{K} \cong \frac{8.8}{K}$$



Bandwidth Limiting Factors

- Resonance Frequency
 - Current or power limited
- Damping
 - Spectral hole burning or carrier heating limited
- Transport
 - Diffusion or tunneling limited
- Parasitics
 - Capacitance and resistance limited
- Microwave Effects
 - Microwave loss limited

Transport Effects

Important transport processes in separate confinement heterostructure (SCH) laser



When transport effects are included, the rate equations are modified

$$\frac{dN_B}{dt} = \frac{I}{qV_{SCH}} - \frac{N_B}{\tau_r} + \frac{N_W (V_W / V_{SCH})}{\tau_e}$$
$$\frac{dN_W}{dt} = \frac{N_B (V_{SCH} / V_W)}{\tau_r} - \frac{N_W}{\tau_n} - \frac{v_g a (N_W - N_{tr}) S}{(1 + \varepsilon S)}$$
$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\Gamma v_g (N_W - N_{tr}) S}{(1 + \varepsilon S)} - \frac{S}{\tau_p} + \beta \Gamma \frac{N_W}{\tau_n}$$

ECE 162C R. Nagarajan et al., Photonics Tech. Lett., vol. 4, no. 2, 121(1992)

Transport Effects: Modulation Response

The modulation response and damping factor then become:

$$R(\omega) = \frac{\omega_0^2}{(1+j\omega\tau_r)(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2 + j\omega\gamma)}$$
$$\omega_0^2 = \frac{\left(\frac{a}{\chi}\right)S}{\tau_p(1+\varepsilon S)} \qquad \chi = 1 + \frac{\tau_r}{\tau_e}$$
$$K = 4\pi^2 \left(\tau_p + \chi \frac{\varepsilon}{a}\right)$$

Transport Effects

Exact numerical solution to rate equations compared to analytical approximation



Transport Effects Results from the Model

- Severe roll off in the modulation response due to transport across the SCH region (τ_r) . This roll off is independent of:
 - Reduction of differential gain
 - Gain compression
 - Device parasitics
- Transport effects causes reduction of effective differential gain $a \rightarrow \frac{a}{a}$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{\chi}$$

 Gain compression factor is independent of SCH width
 ECE 162C

Transport effects

Carrier transport time between two quantum wells in a multiple quantum well (MQW) laser



R. NagaFajah et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. **59** (15), 1835(1991)

Transport Effects: SCH width



R. Nagarajaret al., Photon. Technol. Lett., vol. 4, no. 2, 121 (1992)



 $ECE\ 162C$ R. Nagarajan et al. ,J. Quantum Electronics, vol. 28, no. 10, $\ p.1990$ (1992)

Bandwidth Limiting Factors

- Resonance Frequency
 - Current or power limited
- Damping
 - Spectral hole burning or carrier heating limited
- Transport
 - Diffusion or tunneling limited
- Parasitics
 - Capacitance and resistance limited
- Microwave Effects
 - Microwave loss limited

Laser Parasitics

Device and package parasitics can cause significant reduction in modulation response

- Junction capacitance C
- Bond pad capacitance C_{bp}
- Series resistance R_s
- Bond wire inductance L

Example: To achieve 15 GHz bandwidth:

$$C < 2pF$$

$$C_{bp} < 2pF$$

$$R_{s} < 4\Omega$$

$$L < 0.3nH$$



Parasitics

Parasitic limited 3 dB bandwidth contours



ECE 162C J.E. Bowers, Solid-State Electronics, vol. 30, no. 1, 1 (1987)

Laser Impedance

Model for laser impedance, consisting of bond-wire inductance, parasitic capacitance and series resistance.

Measurements show microwave characteristics of two mounted laser structures:

- a constricted mesa laser
- a high power dual-channel planar buried heterostructure laser (DCPBH)

Inductance and resistance are determined from measurement of forward biased laser (as shown). Capacitance can be found from reverse biased measurement ECE 162C

С THEORY R = 9Ω L = 2.2 nH 50j C = 8 pF 3 GHz 100j **25**i EXPERIMENT DCPBH L= 180 µm THEORY = 7Ω 10 = 0.14 nH GHz = 1 DF EXPERIMENT 50 CONSTRICTED O GHz 1 MESA LASER 170 µm LONG 100i - 50 j

Relative Intensity Noise

- Recombination and generation are stochastic processes -> variations in output power
- Relative Intensity Noise:

$$RIN \equiv \frac{\left< \delta P(t)^2 \right>}{P_0^2}$$

• RIN spectrum related to modulation response:



$$RIN(\omega) = \frac{2h\nu}{P_0} \left[\frac{a_1 + a_2\omega^2}{\omega_R^4} |H(\omega)|^2 + 1 \right]$$

L.A. Corden S.W. Corzine, "Diode Lasers and Photonic Integrated Circuits", Wiley and Sons, 1995 D. Tauber et al., Appl. Phys. Lett., vol.62, no.4, 1993, 325-327

RIN Optical Fiber Communication

- Relative intensity noise cause degradation of Signal-to-Noise Ratio in analog systems, and errors in digital systems
- Analog signal:

$$SNR = \frac{2}{m^2} \frac{1}{RIN}$$

• Digital signal, for BER<10⁻⁹

 $RIN < (11.89)^{-2}$



ECE 162C L.A.Coldren and S.W. Corzine, "Diode Lasers and Photonic Integrated Circuits", Wiley and Sons, 1995

Analog Transmission

- High-speed analog transmission for CATV and wireless communication
- Issues:
 - Noise (RIN)
 - Chirp
 - Linearity
- Non-linearity causes Inter-Modulation Distortion (IMD)
 - Typical system requirement
 - IMD3 < -80dBc



<u>— ECE 162C</u>

J. Wesselman et al., Applied Physics Letters, vol.72, (no.17), p.2084-6