# Lecture 13: Optical Combiners, Filters, Multiplexers, AWGRs and Switches 

## Optical Couplers

## Directional Coupler


$T_{11}(\lambda)$ is the power transfer function from input 1 to output 1.
$\mathrm{T}_{12}(\lambda)$ is the power transfer function from input 1 to output 2 .
$\kappa$ is a function of the waveguide geometry, separation and physical parameters
Example: For $\kappa l=(2 \mathrm{~m}+1) \pi / 4$, and m is a nonnegative integer, power at the input will be split evenly between the two output ports. This is also known as a 3-dB coupler. Note that for a signal incident at one input the signals at both outputs will have a $\pi / 2$ relative phase shift.

## N x N Splitters and Combiners

$\Rightarrow$ Important rule for optical splitters 1 xN and combiners Nx 1
$\Rightarrow$ If the device is frequency and polarization independent, the power loss is at least equal to $1 / N$

$\Rightarrow$ The total loss of the device is thus:


$$
\left.\operatorname{Loss}\right|_{d B}=10 \cdot \log _{10}(N)+\varepsilon_{d B}
$$

## 1xN Splitters and Combiners



Integrated optic 1 xN device layout

Optical beam propagation simulation showing beams (red) directed from input port to output ports

## Splitter/combiner typical characteristics

$\Rightarrow$ The excess loss is of the order of 1 dB
$\Rightarrow$ Commercial devices are available up to 16 ports
$\Rightarrow$ Polarization dependent loss may be as low as 0.2 dB
$\Rightarrow$ Standard devices show partial frequency dependence ( $1-2 \mathrm{~dB}$ over the 30 nm C-band)
$\Rightarrow$ Ultra-flat devices (over more than 30 nm ) are available
$\Rightarrow 1 \times 2$ splitters with different splitting ratios
$\Rightarrow 50 / 50$ splitters ( 3 dB couplers)
$\Rightarrow 10 / 90,5 / 95,1 / 100$ splitters (sometimes called "optical taps")

## Wavelength Filters and Multiplexers

## Class I



Class III


## Wavelength Filters and Multiplexers

$\Rightarrow$ Desirable Characteristics
$\Rightarrow$ Long term frequency stability and accuracy (low temperature sensitivity)
$\Rightarrow$ Flat passband function (important for cascading filters and tolerance to channel drift and misalignment)
$\Rightarrow$ Low crosstalk
$\Rightarrow$ Polarization independent
$\Rightarrow$ Low polarization mode dispersion (PMD)
$\Rightarrow$ Low insertion loss and polarization dependent loss (PDL)
$\Rightarrow$ High return loss
$\Rightarrow$ High resolution for DWDM systems
$\Rightarrow$ Large free spectral range (FSR) for most applications

## Wavelength Filter Passband Characteristics



Wavelength

## Fiber Bragg Gratings (FBG)

Low loss (o.i dB)
Accurate wavelength ( $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{~nm}$ )
Flat top filter passband
High adjacent channel crosstalk suppression (40 dB)
Temperature coefficient $\approx 0.07-1.25 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~nm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Passband can be tuned by stretching fiber


Periodic variation in refractive index along direction of propagation
$\underset{\substack{\lambda_{\circ} \text { will be reflected back if } \\ \text { the following condition is }}}{\lambda_{0}}=2 n_{e f f} \Lambda$ meet

## Bragg Grating Filter Transmission

$\Delta \lambda=\lambda-\lambda 0$
$\Delta$ is a measure of FWHM
High side lobes can be decreased to below
-25 dB using a technique know as apodization


## Tunable FBGs for ROADM



## Fabry-Perot Filters

$\Rightarrow$ Can be tuned to a different wavelength by adjusting the cavity length (e.g., by piezoelectric crystal. High loss, polarization dependence and sharp passband limit use as WDM filter.


## Multilayer Dielectric Thin-Film Filters (TFF)

DTMFs can be designed to have flat passbands, low lows, low PDL and polarization sensitivity as well as sharp frequency rolloff.



## Acoustooptic Tunable Filters

- Medium loss (greater than 6 dB )
- High PMD and PDL, polarization diverse architectures necessary
- Multichannel crosstalk issues


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TE to TM conversion for } \lambda_{i} \\
& \text { TM to TE conversion for } \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}
\end{aligned} \frac{\eta_{T M}}{\lambda}=\frac{\eta_{T E}}{\lambda} \pm \frac{1}{\Lambda}
$$

## Mach-Zehnder Interferometer Filters

Single Stage (can separate $1.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from $1.55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )


Multi Stage (for narrow passband)


## Arrayed Waveguide Grating Router (AWGR)



Wavelength $\lambda$ will be "routed" from input $i$ to output $j$ if it satisfies the following equation:

$$
n_{1} \delta_{i}^{\text {in }}+n_{2} \Delta L+n_{1} \delta_{j}^{\text {out }}=p \lambda(\text { for integer } \mathrm{p})
$$

## Rowland Circle Construction

$\Rightarrow$ Used in the design of AWGRs


## Conclusions on filters

$\Rightarrow$ Optical Filters, demultiplexers and demultiplexers have reached a very high level of reliability
$\Rightarrow$ They are widely used in WDM applications
$\Rightarrow$ Have application in dispersion compensation
$\Rightarrow$ The issue of fast ( $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) tunable filter is still an open issue
$\Rightarrow$ AOTF, though very promising, has not reached a total maturity
$\Rightarrow$ Slowly (ms) tunable filters are now available
$\Rightarrow$ Based on mechanical movements of a grating or an external cavity mirror

## Optical Isolators

$\Rightarrow$ Optical equivalent of a diode
$\Rightarrow$ Used to prevent back reflections from fiber/air or fiber/semiconductor interfaces.
$\Rightarrow$ Reflections can cause instability in SC lasers and increase interferometric noise.
$\Rightarrow$ Typical specifications: Low loss $=$ insertion loss $\sim 1 \mathrm{~dB}$.
High loss $=$ Return loss $40-50 \mathrm{~dB}$.


## Polarization independent optical isolators



## Optical Circulators



## Optical Switch Technology Switching Speed and Port Count



## Photonic Crossconnects



## Photonic Crossconnect Technologies

- 2D:
- Wavelength routed
- Bubble (Total internal reflection)
- Thermo-optic (Glass or silicon)
- Electro-optic
- LiNbO3, InGaAsP, GaAs, Liquid Crystal
- Mach-Zehnder, Fabry-Perot, Michelson Interferometers
- Acousto-optic
- Gain (splitter with gain on each arm)
- Er:SiO2, InGaAsP
- MEMS (MicroElectroMechanical Systems)
- 3D:
- MEMs


## Optical Space Switches




Thermooptic Switch


## Thermo-Optic Switches

- 2D so small switches are best ( $<32$ ports)
- Power consumption (0.5 W per switch)
- Speed (typically 6-8 ms)
- Loss (1 dB/cm typical)
- Size: 4 " wafer for $16 \times 16$ switch


NTT 8X8 thermo-optic switch

## Micro Electro-Mechanical Switches - MEMS

$\Rightarrow$ Micromachines are miniature machines built in ways similar to the way an integrated circuit is built.
$\Rightarrow$ By patterning various layers of polysilicon as they are deposited, one can build structures which look like those shown below
$\Rightarrow$ After the release step in which part of the structures are etched away, the devices are capable of motion


[^0]
## 2D MicroElectroMechanical Systems (MEMS) Mirrors

- Low loss for small sizes (<32x32)
- Low PDL and PMD
- Digital operation
- Sticking due to friction an issue

Output Fibers

L. Lin, "Free-Space Micromachined Optical-Switching Technologies and Architectures," Topical Meeting on Photonics in Switching, Santa Barbara, CA (1999)

## 3D MEMS Switch



## AOTF Multichannel OADM




Fulvio Arecco, Danilo Scarano and Steffen Schmid ECOC 1998

## Agilent Bubble Switches



- No moving parts. Ink jet technology
- 2D so small switches ( $<40$ ports) are best
- Wavelength range: limited
- Power consumption: heater power significant


## Tunable Laser Technology

Medium to Fast Tuning: Multisection Semiconductor Lasers


## Wavelength Switch/Router



## Wavelength Interchanger



## Time Switches

## Random Access Memory



## Storage Loop



## Switched Delay Lines

$\Rightarrow$ Switching fabric (R. Thompson, JLT)
$\Rightarrow$ Resolve NxN switch output port contention (D. K. Hunter, JLT, 1993)
$\Rightarrow$ Resolve internal blocking states, shared buffers (Boncek, Electron. Letts)
$\Rightarrow$ Resolve wavelength switching conflicts (Kazovsky, CORD, PTL, 1995)
$\Rightarrow$ Homodyne coherent crosstalk (M. Tur, Optics Letts., 1995)


## Mixed Switching Fabrics

Space/Wavelength


Wavelength
Multiplexer

Space/Time


## Integrated Optic Space Switches



## Sources of Optical Crosstalk

$\Rightarrow$ Crosstalk is generically a superimposition of two different useful signals
$\Rightarrow$ It may be due to:
$\Rightarrow$ Reflections and Recirculatory Paths
$\Rightarrow$ Fiber and Amplifier Nonlinearities
$\Rightarrow$ Photonic Switching and Gating Elements
$\Rightarrow$ WDM Add/Drop Components
$\Rightarrow$ WDM Multiplexers/Demultiplexers
$\Rightarrow$ In traditional point-to-point link without optical add-drops, crosstalk mainly comes from nonlinear effects
$\Rightarrow$ In next-generation all optical network, it can be generated by any device that handle the signal in the photonic domain

## Digital Optical Crosstalk

$\square$ Do signals from different optical digital sources mix incoherently or coherently?
$>$ Coherence determined by the rate of random laser phase fluctuations relative to the observation interval
$>$ The observation interval is determined by the bit rate


ECE228B, Prof. D. J. Blumenthal Assume $\phi$ i and $\Delta \phi$ uniform distributed on $[0,2 \pi]$

## Incoherent and Coherent Crosstalk

$\square$ Incoherent


Coherent


## Acceptable Crosstalk levels

$\Rightarrow$ Incoherent crosstalk can in most cases be kept under control with good optical filtering at the receiver
$\Rightarrow$ Coherent crosstalk may easily become detrimental
$\Rightarrow$ The coherently interfering channels should be at least 30 dB smaller than the useful channel
$\Rightarrow$ Note that in mesh configuration, coherent crosstalk may be generated by the interaction of a signal with a delayed version of the same signal, that has followed another path


Received eye diagram from strong coherent crosstalk levels


[^0]:    http://www.bell-labs.com/org/physicalsciences/projects/mems/mems1.html

