

Lecture 10

ECE228C, Spring 2008, Prof. Blumenthal

Congestion Parameter and Queuing Delay

 \Rightarrow Define *congestion* parameter $\lambda_{max} = max_{ij} \{\lambda_{ij}\}$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ We must determine variables } \lambda_{ij}^{sd}, \lambda_{max} \text{ and } \tilde{\lambda}_{ij} \\\Rightarrow \text{ The process of determining } \lambda_{ij}^{sd}, \lambda_{max} \text{ and } \lambda_{ij} \text{ is equivalent to the problem}$ of finding a routing algorithm

 \Rightarrow Example:

 \Rightarrow Packet transmission times are exponentially distributed (Poisson Process) with mean time $1/\mu$ seconds.

 \Rightarrow Model each link as an M/M/1 queue, where it is assumed that traffic offered to a link in the network is independent of the traffic offered to other links.

 \Rightarrow The average queuing delay under these assumptions is

$$d_{ij} = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda_{ij}}$$

Throughput

 \Rightarrow *Throughput* is defined as minimum value of the offered load for which any link experiences infinite delay, which happens when

$$\lambda_{\max} = \max_{ij} \lambda_{ij} = \mu$$

⇒ Performance objective

Minimize
$$(\lambda_{max})$$

 \Rightarrow Subject to the following flow conservation at each node

$$\sum_{j} \lambda_{ij}^{sd} - \sum_{j} \lambda_{ji}^{sd} = \begin{cases} \lambda^{sd} & \text{if } s = i, \\ -\lambda^{sd} & \text{if } d = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } s, d, i$$

 \Rightarrow Subject to the total flow on a logical link

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{ij} &= \sum_{s,d} \lambda_{ij}^{sd}, \quad \text{for all } i, j, \\ \lambda_{ij} &\leq \lambda_{\max}, \qquad \text{for all } i, j, \\ \lambda_{ij}^{sd} &\leq b_{ij} \lambda^{sd}, \qquad \text{for all } i, j, \end{split}$$

ECE228C, Spring 2008, Prof. Blumenthal

Throughput

⇒ Subject to degree constraints

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i} b_{ij} \leq \Delta, \quad \text{ for all } j, \\ &\sum_{j} b_{ij} \leq \Delta, \quad \text{ for all } i. \end{split}$$

⇒ Subject to bidirectional lightpath constraint

 $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$, for all i, j.

Subject to Nonnegativity and integer constraints

 $\lambda_{ij}^{sd}, \lambda_{ij}, \lambda_{\max} \ge 0, \quad \text{for all } i, j, s, d$ $b_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \text{for all } i, j.$

Throughput

 \Rightarrow We associate packets routed between pair (s,d) as the *flow* of a commodities.

- \Rightarrow The flow conservation constraint at node *i* yields the net flow out of node *i* for one commodity (*s*,*d*).
- \Rightarrow The net flow is the difference between the outgoing and ingoing flows.
- \Rightarrow The flow conservation is 0 if a node is neither a source or destination of that particular commodity.
- \Rightarrow If node *i* is the source of the flow (*i*=*s*) the net flow = λ^{sd}
- \Rightarrow If node *i* is the destination of the flow (*i*=*d*) the net flow = - λ^{sd}
- \Rightarrow No more than Δ links into and out of each node.

LTD Algorithms

 \Rightarrow The problem to solve sets a condition that the

 $b_{ij} \in \{0,1\}, \text{ for all } i, j.$

 \Rightarrow However, this type of problem can be very difficult and time consuming (numerically) to solve. If the solution is a linear function of the variables, then we call the program that can be used to solve type of problem a Linear Program (LP). If the variables are also restricted to integer values, we use an Integer Linear Program (ILP). If only some of the variables are restricted to integer values, we use a Mixed Integer Linear Program (MILP).

 \Rightarrow If we allow the variables b_{ij} to take on any value between 0 and 1, we call this an LTD-LP problem, etc.

Example LTD Algorithm

 \Rightarrow A rounding algorithm would allow us to solve for the b_{ij} using an LP approach, then we can round the values close to 0 or close to 1 down to 0 or up to 1 respectfully.

⇒ Algorithm

- \Rightarrow Arrange b_{ii} obtained using an LTD-LP into decreasing order
- \Rightarrow Set each $b_{ii} = 1$ if the degree constraint (Δ) is not violated.

Set $b_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

 \Rightarrow Stop when all the degree constraints are satisfied or there are no b_{ij}s remaining

 \Rightarrow LP algorithms are not a subject covered in this class.

Routing and Wavelength Assignment

 \Rightarrow We now look in more detail at the tradeoff between equipment cost in the optical vs. the higher electronics layers.

 \Rightarrow RWA Problem

 \Rightarrow Given a network topology and a set of lightpath (end-toend) requests, determine a route and wavelength for each request using the minimum number of wavelengths (and/or some other wavelength related resource).

 \Rightarrow Constraints

 \Rightarrow Two lightpaths cannot share the same wavelength on the same link.

 \Rightarrow Without wavelength conversion, a lightpath must be assigned the same wavelength on all the links along its path

Directed and Undirected Edges

\Rightarrow Define an *undirected edge*

 \Rightarrow topology with a network that has a pair of unidirectional fiber links in opposite directions between nodes.

 \Rightarrow all lightpaths are bi-directional with the same route and wavelength chosen for both directions.

- \Rightarrow (a) is an example of an undirected edge and undirected lightpath.
 - \Rightarrow Note three wavelengths are needed without λ -conversion
- \Rightarrow (b) is an example of directed edges and directed lightpaths
 - \Rightarrow Only two wavelengths needed without λ -conversion
- \Rightarrow (c) and (d) are examples of undirected edges and directed lightpaths
 - \Rightarrow (c) requires a fiber in each direction and two wavelengths per fiber

 \Rightarrow (d) requires bi-directional transmission over the same fiber, utilizing different wavelengths for each direction



Wavelength Conversion

 \Rightarrow Wavelength conversion is the ability to translate data from one wavelength to another without leaving the optical layer.

 \Rightarrow Full wavelength conversion in an optical crossconnect allows any wavelength on any input to be changed to any wavelength on any output as shown below.



Optical Crossconnects (OXCs)



OXC Scalability Issues

• OEO interfaces required on DWDM and switch side or on just switch side 2.5 Gbps OEO •Decreased port count K X •Increased power dissipation and footprint of demux •Regain ports by adding switches, increasing footprint and power dissipation DeMux 2.5 Gbps Switch $\lambda_0, \dots \lambda_N$ Fabric 10 Gbps OEO DeMux 2.5 Gbps DeMux Switch Fabric $\lambda_0, \dots \lambda_N$ 10 Gbps OEO DeMux 10 Gbps Switch 40 Gbps OEO $\lambda_0, \ldots \lambda_N$ Fabric DeMux 10 Gbps DeMux Switch Fabric •Increased power dissipation due to $\lambda_0, \dots \lambda_N$ •faster OEO •faster switch fabric

ECE228C, Spring 2008, Prof. Blumenthal

Photonic Crossconnects (PXCs)



PXC Scalability



ECE228C, Spring 2008, Prof. Blumenthal

Wavelength Selective Crossconnects (WSXC)

• Scales to high port count using smaller NxN switches • Partially blocking (cannot connect between λ s) N x N $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots \lambda_N$ DeMux Switch Mux • • • • • $\lambda_{\rm I}$ N x N Switch • • • λ_2 0 • $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots \lambda_N$ DeMux $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ Mux N x N Switch $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_N$

Wavelength Switch/Router



Wavelength Interchanger

