# Lecture 3: First Generation Optic Networks and WDM Network Elements 

## IP+SONET vs. IP+ATM+SONET

$\Rightarrow$ Bandwidth efficiency due to different protocol overheads
$\Rightarrow$ Under the assumption of
$\Rightarrow 576$ byte IP packet
$\Rightarrow 155 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ SONET rate
$\Rightarrow$ the useful bandwidth is
$\Rightarrow 125.918 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ for IP+ATM+SONET (80\% efficiency)
$\Rightarrow 147.150 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ for IP+SONET ( $95 \%$ efficiency)
$\Rightarrow$ Bandwidth management and Quality of Service (QoS)
$\Rightarrow$ The use of ATM ensure a rich set of QoS parameters, that are not present in the PPP used for IP directly over SONET
$\Rightarrow$ Addressing, Routing, Flow Control, Fault Tolerance
$\Rightarrow$ Again, most of these features are present in ATM, while they are not in PPP
$\Rightarrow$ In conclusion, IP+SONET is to be preferred where the raw bandwidth is an issue, while IP+ATM+SONET is much more robust in terms of several others networking features
$\Rightarrow$ Equipment cost may anyway override these considerations

## Fiber Distributed Digital Interface (FDDI)

$\Rightarrow$ Standard for Local Area Networks (LANs) and Metropolitan Area Networks (MANs)
$\Leftrightarrow$ Extension of Token Ring to 100 Mbps optical fiber
$\Rightarrow$ Connect up to 500 nodes
$\Leftrightarrow$ Low cost implementation uses low power transmitters and graded index MMF


## FDDI Frame Format


$\Rightarrow$ PA: Preamble field: 16 symbols each coded with 45 bits to establish and maintain clock and synchronization
$\Rightarrow$ SD: Starting delimiter field. Two unique symbol sequences to start processor on overhead sequence.
$\Leftrightarrow$ FC: Frame control consisting of two symbols that define frame type and characteristics.
$\Rightarrow$ DA: Destination address
$\Rightarrow$ SA: Source address
$\Rightarrow$ FCS: Frame checking sequence for error detection
$\Rightarrow$ ED: End delimiter field.
$\Leftrightarrow$ FS: Frame status field.

## FDDI Flow Control

$\Leftrightarrow$ Each node checks for the presence of tokens
$\Rightarrow$ If a token is available, then the node may send data according to:
$\Rightarrow$ Synchronous: Time sensitive traffic with required upper bound on transmission delay
$\Rightarrow$ Transmission of data once a token has been received is for preassigned amount of time
$\Rightarrow$ Asynchronous: Time insensitive without guarantee of transmission delay
$\Rightarrow$ Transmission data may begin after a timer se to the token rotation time (TRT)
$\Leftrightarrow$ Transmission may last for a predetermined token holding time (THT)
$\Rightarrow$ After transmission, the node replaces the token on the network.

## Fibre Channel

$\Rightarrow$ A technology for transmitting data between computer devices at a data rate of up to 1 Gbps (A data rate of 4 Gbps is proposed.)
$\Rightarrow$ Suited for connecting computer servers to shared storage devices and for interconnecting storage controllers and drives.
$\Rightarrow$ Fibre Channel Arbitrated Loop (FC-AL) supports copper media and loops containing up to 126 devices, or nodes.
$\Rightarrow$ Three times as fast as the Small System Computer Interface (SCSI)
$\Rightarrow$ Devices can be as far as ten kilometers (about six miles) apart. Requires optical fiber for longer distances. Works with coaxial cable and twisted pair for shorter distances.
$\Rightarrow$ Offers point-to-point, switched, and loop interfaces. Interoperates with SCSI, the Internet Protocol (IP), and other protocols.
$\Rightarrow$ Specified by ANSI X3.230-1994 (ISO 14165-

1) ECE 228 C , Spring 2006, Prof. Blumenthal

## Fibre Channel

High-speed serial data transfer interface that can be used to connect systems and storage in point-to-point or switched topologies.
$\Rightarrow$ Supports bandwidths of $133 \mathrm{Mb} /$ sec., $266 \mathrm{Mb} /$ sec., $532 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{sec} ., 1.0625 \mathrm{~Gb} /$ sec., and $4 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{sec}$. (proposed) at distances of up to ten kilometers.
$\Rightarrow$ The FC layered protocol architecture consists of five layers. The highest layer defines mappings from other communication protocols onto the FC fabric. Protocols supported include:
$\Rightarrow$ Small Computer System Interface (SCSI)
$\Rightarrow$ Internet Protocol (IP)
$\Rightarrow$ ATM Adaptation Layer (AAL5)
$\Rightarrow$ Link Encapsulation (FC-LE)
$\Rightarrow$ IEEE 802.2
$\triangle$ FC-0: Covers the physical characteristics of the interface and media, including the cables, connectors, drivers, transmitters, and receivers
$\Rightarrow$ FC-1: Defines the 8B/10B encoding/decoding and transmission protocol used to integrate the data with the clock information required by serial transmission techniques
$\Rightarrow$ FC-2: Defines the rules for the signaling protocol and describes transfer of the data frame, sequence, and exchanges


Source: http://www.fibrechannel.com/technology

## Fibre Channel

```
Frame size is up to 2,148 bytes:
    Bytes Function
4 Start of frame delimiter
24 Frame header, including 24-bit source and destination addresses and
    sequence numbers to support windowing and flow control
0 to 2,112 Higher-layer data (payload), may include a 64-byte optional header,
    reducing payload to 2,048 bytes
4 CRC
End of frame delimiter
```


## 8B/10B Channel Coding

$\Rightarrow$ Eight data bits are sent in 10 bits to provide the following:
$\Rightarrow$ Error detection (called disparity control)
$\Rightarrow$ Frame delimiting with data transparency: Any bit pattern can be sent with a way to mark the beginning and end of a frame
$\Rightarrow$ Clock recovery: Signal transitions assist the receiver in finding the center of each bit, even if many contiguous ones (or zeros) are sent and the sender has a slightly different transmission rate
$\Rightarrow$ D.C. voltage balance (on average, the signal spends an equal time positive and negative; this is required if the signal is AC coupled at the receiver

## Gigabit Ethernet



## Gigabit Ethernet

$\Rightarrow$ Allows half- and full-duplex operation at speeds of 1000 Mbps
$\Rightarrow$ Full-duplex is by far the most used approach
$\Rightarrow$ For full duplex, CSMA/CD is not used, since the system works on point to point connections only
$\Rightarrow$ Uses the 802.3 Ethernet frame format
$\Rightarrow$ Addresses backward compatibility with 10BASE-T and 100BASE-T technologies
$\Rightarrow$ Utilizes Fiber Channel physical layer technology, with the following options:

|  | Standard | Fiber <br> Type | Diameter ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Modal BW <br> ( $\mathrm{MHz}^{*} \mathrm{~km}$ ) | Minimum Range (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SX stands for the short-wavelemgth option ( 850 nm ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1000BASE-SX } \\ & (850 \mathrm{~nm}) \end{aligned}$ | MM <br> MM <br> MM <br> MM | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 62.5 \\ 62.5 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 160 \\ 200 \\ 400 \\ 500 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 to 220 2 to 275 2 to 500 2 to 550 |
| LX stands for the longwavelemgth option ( 1300 nm ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1000BASE-LX } \\ & (1300 \mathrm{~nm}) \end{aligned}$ | MM <br> MM <br> MM <br> SM | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 62.5 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 400 \\ & 500 \\ & \text { NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 to 550 2 to 550 2 to 550 2 to 5000 |

## Gigabit Ethernet Layers



## Gigabit Ethernet Standards

| Worst-Case 1000BASE-SX Link Po |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | 62.5-u 2 Multimode |  |
| Modal bandwidth as measured at 850 nm (minimum, overfilled launch) | 160 | 200 |
| Link power budget | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Operating distance | 220 | 275 |
| Channel insertion loss (@ 830 nm ) | 2.38 | 2.60 |
| Link power penalties | 4.27 | 4.29 |
| Unallocated margin in link power budget | 0.84 | 0.60 |

Worst-Case 1000BASE-LX Link Power Budget and Penalties

| Parameter | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 - \mu} \mathbf{2}$ Multimode |
| :--- | :--- |
| Modal bandwidth as measured at 1300 nm <br> (minimum, overfilled launch) | 500 |
| Link power budget | 7.5 |
| Operating distance | 550 |
| Channel insertion loss (@ 1270 nm ) | 2.35 |
| Link power penalties | 3.48 |
| Unallocated margin in link power budget | 1.67 |

Worst-Case Long Haul Link Power Budget and Penalties

| Parameter | $\mathbf{1 0 - \mu} \mathbf{2}$ Single-Mode |
| :--- | :--- |
| Link power budget | 10.5 |
| Operating distance | 10,000 |
| Channel insertion loss (@1280 nm) | 7.8 |
| Link power penalties | 2.5 |
| Unallocated margin in link power budget | 0.2 |

Unallocated margin in link power budget 0.2

| $\mathbf{5 0 - \mu}$ Multimode |  | Units <br> 400 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | 500 |  |
| $\mathrm{MHz}^{*} \mathrm{~km}$ |  |  |


| 50- $\mu$ Multimode |  | 10- $\mu$ Single-Mode | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | 500 | NA | MHz*km |
| 7.5 | 7.5 | 8.0 | dB |
| 550 | 550 | 5000 | meters |
| 2.35 | 2.35 | 4.57 | dB |
| 5.08 | 3.96 | 3.27 | dB |
| 0.07 | 1.19 | 0.16 | dB |

[^0]
## Gigabit Ethernet Fiber Cable Standards

| Description | $\mathbf{6 2 . 5 - \mu m} \mathbf{1}$ Multimode | $\mathbf{5 0 - \mu m}$ Multimode | $\mathbf{1 0 - \mu m}$ Single-Mode | Units |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nominal Wavelength 850 1300 850 1300 | 1300 | nm |  |  |  |  |
| Fiber cable attenuation <br> (maximum) | 3.75 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | $\mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| Modal bandwidth <br> (minimum, overfilled | 160 | 200 | 500 | 400 | 400 | NA |

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Generation Optical Networks

$\Rightarrow$ Current evolution of 1st Generation Optical Networks
$\Rightarrow$ WDM and DWDM to increase link capacity
$\Rightarrow$ Multichannel optical amplification for long haul distance
$\Rightarrow$ OEO regenerators can be greatly eliminated
$\Rightarrow$ 2nd Generation Optical Networks
$\Rightarrow$ Perform routing and switching functions optically
$\Rightarrow$ Map protocols directly to optical layer via WDM
$\Rightarrow$ Allows some level of Bit-rate and Format transparency

## Example OADM



## Network Evolution



## Optical Circuit \& Packet Switching

$\Rightarrow$ Optical Circuit Switching, also called:
$\Rightarrow$ OTN: Optical Transport Networks (by ITU)
$\Rightarrow$ ASON: Automatically Switched Optical Network (by ITU)
$\Rightarrow$ All-Optical Network
$\Rightarrow$ Truly Optical Network
$\Rightarrow$ is (nearly) ready for commercial deployment
$\Rightarrow$ Year 2001 is probably the "Year Zero" for All-Optical (circuit switched) Networks
$\Rightarrow$ Optical Packet Switching is still at the R\&D level
$\Rightarrow$ Some testbeds have been implemented
$\Rightarrow$ No one has ever been truly engineered
$\Rightarrow$ We will see anyway a lot of development in this area in the next $4-5$ years

## Why "True" Optical networking?

$\Rightarrow$ Enabling technologies
$\Rightarrow$ New potential offered by long-haul, not-repeatered optical transmission
$\Rightarrow$ New devices for optical handling of WDM channels
$\Rightarrow$ Motivations \& Drivers
$\Rightarrow$ Wavelength reuse
$\Rightarrow$ Avoid OEO conversion of "Passing-Through" traffic
$\Rightarrow$ Allow efficient fault-protection

## Long-Haul Transmission

$\Rightarrow$ Optical WDM technology has reached a very good maturity
$\Rightarrow$ WDM transmission on 40-100 channels on 400-500 Km using optical amplification (without OEO conversion) is commercially available from most major vendors
$\Rightarrow$ An extension to much higher distances ( $>1000 \mathrm{Km}$ up to transoceanic distances) is ready at the R\&D level, and (nearly?) ready at the commercial level
$\Rightarrow$ Consequences
$\Rightarrow$ OEO conversion, from a pure transmission point of view, is less and less required
$\Rightarrow$ The need to place an OEO along a link will be more and more related to networking (switching, routing) requirements, and not to transmission
$\Rightarrow$ It may be envisioned to cover extremely large areas (Europe, United States and more) with all-optical transmission, based on EDFA amplification and without OEO conversion

## DXC "Passing-Through" Traffic

$\Rightarrow$ In a digital cross connect (DXC) in a complex mesh network, most of the traffic has just to pass through the node, since it must simply be forwarded to next nodes
$\Rightarrow$ Only a fraction of the total traffic has to be added or dropped locally
$\Rightarrow$ The local add/drop traffic is naturally handled by OEO conversions
$\Rightarrow$ On the passing-through traffic, OEO conversion is not strictly required
$\Rightarrow$ If possible, the signal can be kept in the photonic domain
$\Rightarrow$ Nowadays, OEO SONET systems satisfying long-haul WDM standards are extremely expensive
$\Rightarrow$ A huge number of SONET OE and EO cards are required on a DXC, given by the input number of fibers times the number of wavelength per fiber

## The ITU G. 872 standard

$\Rightarrow$ Title: Architecture of Optical Transport Networks (OTN)
$\Rightarrow$ This recommendation is at the basis of the current vision on OTN, i.e., on WDM reconfigurable networks
$\Rightarrow$ Though the current network visions of vendors and carriers vary a lot, still most architecture are based on the ITU vision
$\Rightarrow$ The bottom line
$\Rightarrow$ Create an "Optical Layer" that resides below any "electrical" layer, being SONET, SDH, Gigabit Ethernet, etc
$\Rightarrow$ The "electrical" layers have access to Optical Channels going through the network on a wavelength basis


## ITU G. 872 layering

$\Rightarrow$ Four layers are proposed by the Recommendation
$\Rightarrow$ Optical Channel Layer (OCh layer)
$\Rightarrow$ Optical Multiplex Section Layer
$\Rightarrow$ Optical Transmission Section Layer
$\Rightarrow$ Physical Media Layer
$\Rightarrow$ The four layers basically maps on the lower three layers of the OSI model
$\Rightarrow$ The Recommendation does not give technological details on the implementation, but rather gives general rules for the development of OTN

## ITU G. 872 layering



Layer

## Wavelength Routed Mesh Network



Ramaswami and Sivarajan, Optical Networks

## Architectural Features

$\Rightarrow$ Wavelength Reuse
$\Rightarrow$ Wavelength Conversion
$\Rightarrow$ Transparency
$\Rightarrow$ Circuit Swicthing
$\Rightarrow$ Survivability
$\Rightarrow$ Lightpath Topology Engineering

## Optical Circuit Switching (OCS)



## Wavelength Reuse

$\Rightarrow$ The number of wavelength currently available are on the order of 40 and will most likely reach 128 for high performance systems over the next several years.
$\Rightarrow$ If we want to design networks that can support 1000's of nodes, we have to use architectures that don't rely on one wavelength per node.
$\Rightarrow$ Similar to frequency reuse in wireless networks, wavelength reuse in optical networks can support a large number of users on a limited number of wavelengths

## Example: Interconnected WDM rings: 8 nodes with 4 discrete wavelengths



## Fault-Protection

$\Rightarrow$ The issue of fault protection in WDM network is a fundamental issue
$\Rightarrow$ A fiber cut may be easily interrupt hundreds of gigabit of transmission
$\Rightarrow$ Fiber cut are actually mostly "bundle" cut, meaning that hundred of fiber may go out of service
$\Rightarrow$ The restoration mechanisms on such bandwidth-massive networks, may become extremely complex
$\Rightarrow$ The implementation of an optical layer can give a new "layer" in protection mechanism

## Optical Reconfigurability

$\Rightarrow$ A degree of optical reconfigurability may be useful to cope with:
$\Rightarrow$ Changes in Connection Patterns
$\Rightarrow$ Changes in Traffic Characteristics
$\Rightarrow$ Provisioning and load balancing
$\Rightarrow$ Network Operations and Management
$\Rightarrow$ Topological updating, provisioning
$\Rightarrow$ Network element maintenance
$\Rightarrow$ Link/Node Failure and Restoration
$\Rightarrow$ Dynamic changes in number of wavelengths on the fiber
$\Rightarrow$ Transmitter, link, node and overhead $(\mathrm{OH})$ channel failure

## Optical Channel Layer (Och)

$\Rightarrow$ Provides end-to-end networking of optical channels
$\Rightarrow$ The channels are totally transparent, i.e., they can carry on a wavelength any kind of client formats (SONET/SDH at different bit rates, Gigabit Ethernet, ATM cell based formats, etc)
$\Rightarrow$ Processes optical channel overhead information, to ensure the integrity of the optical channel
$\Rightarrow$ Processes optical channel Operation and Maintenance (OAM) functions, such as
$\Rightarrow$ Connection provisioning, Network survivability, QoS parameters, etc
$\Rightarrow$ It is similar to OSI layer 3

## Interfaces OCh/Client

$\Rightarrow$ The interface (adaptation) between Och and the Client should implement the following functions:
$\Rightarrow$ Independently of the Client characteristics, the SOURCE interface must generate a continuous data stream suitable for optical modulation, of defined bit rate and coding scheme (note that burst transmission is not available)
$\Rightarrow$ The SINK interface must decode the received continuous data stream , and convert it in a format suitable for the Client
$\Rightarrow$ Generation and termination of the overhead signals required by this layer

## Optical Multiplex Section Layer (OMS)

$\Rightarrow$ Provides the functionality required for networking a multi-channel (WDM) optical signal
$\Rightarrow$ Multiplexing and Demultiplexing of the WDM signal
$\Rightarrow$ Processes multiplex section overhead information, to ensure the integrity of the multiplex section
$\Rightarrow$ Monitoring of the integrity of the WDM signal as a single entity
$\Rightarrow$ OAM function of the WDM signal as a single entity
$\Rightarrow$ It is similar to OSI layer 2
$\Rightarrow$ Interface OMS/OCh
$\Rightarrow$ SOURCE:
$\Rightarrow$ modulation of $N$ optical carriers
$\Rightarrow$ Wavelength multiplexing
$\Rightarrow$ Generation and termination of the overhead signals required by this layer
$\Rightarrow$ SINK
$\Rightarrow$ Wavelength demultiplexing
$\Rightarrow$ Detection of each of the $N$ optical signals

## Optical Transmission and Physical Layer

$\Rightarrow$ Optical Transmission Section layer
$\Rightarrow$ Monitoring of the integrity of the optical transmission between
$\Rightarrow$ OAM overhead functions at the transmission level
$\Rightarrow$ Physical media layer
$\Rightarrow$ It is concerned with the physical details of the transmission (fiber types, length, amplifiers, etc.)
$\Rightarrow$ The specification of the physical layer are outside the scope of the Recommendation

## ROADMs and S－ROADMs （Scalable ROADM）



Service Interfaces（e．g．io GbE，SONET）
Grooming Transponders（e．g． 8 x 622 Mbps to 10 Gbps


きききき

## Photonic Crossconnects



Wavelength Switched (nonblocking)


## ROADM Technologies

$\Rightarrow$ Arrayed Waveguide Gratings (AWG)
$\Rightarrow$ Tunable Optical Filters (Fiber Bragg gratings, thin film filters)
$\Rightarrow$ Thermo-optic (Glass or silicon)
$\Rightarrow$ Liquid Crystal
$\Rightarrow$ Electro-optic
$\Rightarrow \quad$ LiNbO3, InGaAsP, GaAs, Liquid Crystal
Mach-Zehnder, Fabry-Perot, Michelson Interferometers
$\Rightarrow$ Acousto-optic filters and switches (AOTF)
$\Rightarrow$ Gain (splitter with gain on each arm)
$\Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{Er}: \mathrm{SiO} 2$, InGaAsP
$\Rightarrow$ MEMS (MicroElectroMechanical Systems)

## Fixed Single Wavelength Add/Drop

$\Rightarrow$ The simplest architectures is based on nodes equipped with a fixed adddrop on a single wavelength
$\Rightarrow$ It can be used on a single-fiber ring
$\Rightarrow$ Such an optical devices is totally available nowadays at the commercial level, on any wavelength of the ITU grid
$\Rightarrow$ Most common solutions are based on gratings, together with recirculators, isolators and couplers


## Static Multiwavelength Add/Drop

$\Rightarrow$ This solution reduces the number of SONET ADMs over dropping every wavelength at a SONET ADM
$\Rightarrow$ It allows to add/drop more than one wavelength per node
$\Rightarrow$ It can be used on a bi-directional ring
$\Rightarrow$ It is manually reconfigurable, by changing optical connections on a patch panel


## Thermo-Optic Switches

- 2D so small switches are best ( $<32$ ports)
- Power consumption ( 0.5 W per switch)
- Speed (typically 6-8 ms)
- Loss ( $1 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{cm}$ typical)
- Size: 4" wafer for $16 \times 16$ switch

(Mach-Zehnder with thermal phase shift)


NTT 8X8 thermo-optic switch

## 2D MicroElectroMechanical Systems (MEMS) Mirrors

- Low loss for small sizes (<32×32)
- Low PDL and PMD
- Digital operation
- Sticking due to friction an issue

Output Fibers


L. Lin, "Free-Space Micromachined Optical-Switching Technologies and Architectures," Topical Meeting on Photonics in Switching, Santa Barbara, CA (1999)

## Reconfigurable OADMs (ROADM)



## Tunable FBGs for ROADM



## Acoustooptic Tunable Filters

- Medium loss (greater than 6 dB )
- High PMD and PDL, polarization diverse architectures necessary
- Multichannel crosstalk issues


$$
\underset{\text { TM to TE conversion for } \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\operatorname{TE}} \frac{\eta_{T M}}{\lambda}=\frac{\eta_{T E}}{\lambda} \pm \frac{1}{\Lambda}
$$

## Homework \#1, Due April 24th

$\Rightarrow$ Problem 6.1 Ramaswami
$\Rightarrow$ Problem 6.3 Ramaswami
$\Rightarrow$ Problem 6.4 Ramaswami
$\Rightarrow$ Problem 7.1 Ramaswami
$\Rightarrow$ Problem 7.2 Ramaswami
$\Rightarrow$ Problem 7.4 Ramaswami
$\Rightarrow$ Problem 7.5 Ramaswami


[^0]:    Units
    dB
    meters
    dB
    dB
    dB

