ECE594I Notes set 9: Bipolar Transistor Noise

Mark Rodwell

University of California, Santa Barbara

rodwell@ece.ucsb.edu 805-893-3244, 805-893-3262 fax

References and Citations:

Sources / Citations : Kittel and Kroemer : Thermal Physics Van der Ziel : Noise in Solid - State Devices Papoulis : Probability and Random Variables (hard, comprehens ive) Peyton Z. Peebles : Probability, Random Variables, Random Signal Principles (introduct ory) Wozencraft & Jacobs : Principles of Communications Engineering. Motchenbak er : Low Noise Electronic Design Information theory lecture notes : Thomas Cover, Stanford, circa 1982 Probability lecture notes : Martin Hellman, Stanford, circa 1982 National Semiconduc tor Linear Applications Notes : Noise in circuits.

Suggested references for study.

Van der Ziel, Wozencraft & Jacobs, Peebles, Kittel and Kroemer Papers by Fukui (device noise), Smith & Personik (optical receiver design) National Semi. App. Notes (!) Cover and Williams : Elements of Information Theory

Bipolar Transistor AC Characteristics

Assume
$$V_{be} >> kT / q$$
 and $V_{cb} >> kT / q$.
The base minority carrier concentrations are then
 $n'(0) = n(0) - n_{po} = n_{po}(e^{qV_{be}/kT} - 1) \cong n_{po}e^{qV_{be}/kT}$
 $n'(W_b) = n_{po}(e^{-qV_{cb}/kT} - 1) \cong -n_{po} \cong 0$



Bipolar Transistor AC Characteristics



Apply the minority - carrier diffusion model : $G/2 = qAW_b/2$ $C/2 = qAW_b$ $R = W_b/qAD_n$ $V_1 = n'_1 \cong n_{po}e^{qV_{be}/kT}$ $V_2 = n'_2 \cong 0$

$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{GR1}} = 2q \cdot \left(\frac{qn'_1 W_b A}{2\tau_R}\right) \quad \widetilde{S}_{I_{GR1}} = 2q \cdot \left(\frac{qn'_1 D_n A}{W_b}\right)$$

Bipolar Transistor AC Characteristics



Note that \tilde{I}_C and \tilde{I}_E are the electron * particle * currents crossing the emitter - base and base - collector depletion regions.

These are distinct from I_c and I_E , the total emitter and collector currents.

The distintion arises from displacement currents in the depletion regions, where the displacement currents arise from both capacitance and transit time.

With an electron moving at velocity *v* between plates of a capacitor with separation *d* and bias voltage V_{DC} , equating the work done on the electron by moving it through the electric field $\int_{x_1}^{x_2} q \mathcal{E}(x) dx$ to the work done by the external circuit $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} V_{DC} I(t) dt$, we find

when the electron is moving between the plates at other times.



The width of this current pulse is D/v, while its mean delay (centroid) is $\tau_c = D/2v$.

For the collector depletion layer, this is the collector transit time.

 $I(t) = \begin{cases} qv/d \\ 0 \end{cases}$







Referring to the right image (diffusion diagram), forcing V_{be} and V_{ce} forces the minority carrier concentrations n_1 and n_2 at the 2 edges of the base. Electron diffusion (convention) currents \tilde{I}_E and \tilde{I}_C then flow.

From these, we must find the external circuit currents I_E , I_C and I_B .

Note carefully :

 \tilde{I}_E and \tilde{I}_C are defined in the directions which electrons move. but are taken to be positive for electrons moving left to right. I_E , I_C and I_B are defined in the directions of conventional current.



If a single electron enters the cb depletion region from the base, then the collector convection current is

$$\widetilde{I}_{C}(t) = q \cdot \delta(t),$$

while the collector terminal current is

 $I_{C}(t) = \begin{cases} q/2\tau_{c} & 0 < t < 2\tau_{c} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

The base terminal current is of the opposite sign

$$I_B(t) = \begin{cases} -q/2\tau_c & 0 < t < 2\tau_c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similar analysis applies to the eb depletion layer, but the EB transit time will be here neglected. In our THz HBT work, we do not make this assumption.



The Fourier transform of a rectangular pulse

 $h(t) = \begin{cases} 1/2\tau_c & 0 < t < 2\tau_c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

is $h(j\omega) = e^{-j\omega\tau_c} \cdot (\sin(\omega\tau_c)/\omega\tau_c).$

Approximating to 1st order in $\omega \tau_c$: $h(j\omega) \cong (1 - j\omega \tau_c) \cong (1 + j\omega \tau_c)^{-1} \cong e^{-j\omega \tau_c}$.



The terminal currents can then be related to the convection currents : $I_C \cong \tilde{I}_C \cdot (1 - j\omega\tau_c) \cong \tilde{I}_C \cdot (1 + j\omega\tau_c)^{-1}$ collector terminal current $I_E \cong \tilde{I}_E$ emitter terminal current $I_B = I_E - I_C \cong I_E - \tilde{I}_C \cdot (1 - j\omega\tau_c)$ base terminal current

BJT Small-Signal Characteristics (no noise)



Ignore g (base recombination) to simplify analysis. $\delta \tilde{I}_E = \delta n_1 \cdot (1/R + j\omega C/2) = \delta n_1 \cdot (qAD_n/W_b + j\omega \cdot qAW_b/2)$ $\delta \tilde{I}_C = (qAD_n/W_b) \cdot \delta n_1$

But
$$\delta n_1 = (q/kT) \cdot \delta V_{be}$$
, while at DC,
 $\delta \tilde{I}_E(\omega \to 0) = (qAD_n/W_b) \cdot \delta n_1 = (qAD_n/W_b) \cdot (q/kT) \cdot \delta V_{be} = (qI_{EDC}/kT) \cdot \delta V_{be}$

So,
$$\delta \tilde{I}_{E} = \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot (1 + j\omega\tau_{b}) \cdot \delta V_{be}$$
 and $\delta \tilde{I}_{C} = \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot \delta V_{be}$ where $\tau_{b} = W_{b}^{2} / 2D_{n}$

We now have the electron convection currents.

BJT Small-Signal Characteristics (no noise)





base terminal current :

$$\delta I_{B} = \delta I_{E} - \delta I_{C} \cong \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot (1 - j\omega\tau_{c}) \cdot \delta V_{be} - \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot (1 + j\omega\tau_{b}) \cdot \delta V_{be}$$
$$\delta I_{B} = \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot j\omega(\tau_{b} + \tau_{c}) \cdot \delta V_{be}$$

BJT Small-Signal Characteristics (no noise)



We have just derived the standard hybrid - pi model where

$$C_{diff} \cong \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot (\tau_b + \tau_c)$$

$$r_{be} = \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \text{ (we lost this term by dropping } g \text{ in the diffusion analysis)}$$

$$g_m \cong \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot (1 - j\omega\tau_c) \cong \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + j\omega\tau_c} \cong \frac{qI_{EDC}}{kT} \cdot \exp(-j\omega\tau_c)$$

BJT Noise Characteristics: Diffusion Noise



We consider terminal current fluctuations arising from I_{diff} * alone *. This implies that we set the AC terminal voltages to zero.

$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{diff}} = 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_h} \cdot (n_1' + n_2' + 2n_{po}) \cong 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_h} \cdot n_1' = 2q \cdot I_{E,DC}$$

From the diffusion diagram : $\tilde{I}_{C} = \tilde{I}_{E} = I_{diff}$

We now find terminal currents :

$$I_{C} = \widetilde{I}_{C} \cdot (1 - j2\pi f\tau_{c}) = I_{diff} \cdot (1 - j2\pi f\tau_{c}) =$$
$$I_{E} = \widetilde{I}_{E} = I_{dif} \text{ and } I_{B} = I_{E} - I_{C} = I_{diff} \cdot j2\pi f\tau_{c}$$

BJT Noise Characteristics: Diffusion Noise



$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}_{I_c} &= 2qI_{E,DC} \cdot \left(1 + (2\pi f\tau_c)^2\right) = 2qI_{E,DC} \text{ as our analysis is 1st order in } (2\pi f\tau_c). \\ \widetilde{S}_{I_B} &= (2\pi f\tau_c)^2 \cdot \widetilde{S}_{I_{diff}} = (2\pi f\tau_c)^2 \cdot 2qI_{E,DC} \\ \widetilde{S}_{I_B I_C} &= j2\pi f\tau_c \cdot \widetilde{S}_{I_{diff}} = j2\pi f\tau_c \cdot 2qI_{E,DC} \end{split}$$

BJT Noise Characteristics: Recombination Noise



$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{gr1}I_{gr1}} = 2q \cdot \frac{q(n_1' + 2n_{po})}{\tau_n} \frac{A \cdot W_b}{2} = 2q \cdot I_b(!)$$

But $\widetilde{I}_E = I_{gr1}, I_E = \widetilde{I}_E$, and $\widetilde{I}_C = 0$, so
 $I_B = I_E - I_C = I_{gr1}$

Hence

$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{c}} = 0.$$
$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{B}} = 2qI_{B}$$
$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{B}I_{c}} = 0$$

BJT Noise Model For Circuit Analysis; Initial Result





We have derived our BJT noise model

$$\widetilde{S}_{I_C} = 2qI_{E,DC} \qquad \widetilde{S}_{I_B} = 2qI_B + (2\pi f\tau_C)^2 \cdot 2qI_{E,DC} \qquad \widetilde{S}_{I_BI_C} = j \cdot 2\pi f\tau_C \cdot 2qI_{E,DC}$$

The other circuit elements are

depletion capacitances

contact and bulk resistances, which have normal kT noise as indicated.



We have found

$$\widetilde{S}_{I_C} = 2qI_{E,DC} \qquad \widetilde{S}_{I_B} = 2qI_B + (2\pi f\tau_C)^2 \cdot 2qI_{E,DC} \qquad \widetilde{S}_{I_BI_C} = j \cdot 2\pi f\tau_C \cdot 2qI_{E,DC}$$

It is troubling that we have found a correlation of base and collector noise due to collector transit time, but not due to base transit time.

This is a consequence of our limiting assumptions on the base transport analysis.

Let us re - do the analysis with slightly greater precision.



We have separated the base into 2 sections. We concentrate on diffusion noise, ignoring generation/recombination noise. Note the $W_b/2$ terms in the expressions arising from the finite elements of width $W_b/2$.

 $n_1 = n_{po} \exp(qV_{be} / kT) \qquad n_3 = n_{po} \exp(qV_{bc} / kT) \approx 0$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}_{I_{diff} 1} &= 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_b / 2} \cdot (n_1' + n_2') & g / 2 = qA(W_b / 2) / 2\tau_R \\ \widetilde{S}_{I_{diff} 1} &= 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_b / 2} \cdot (n_2' + n_3') & R = (W_b / 2) / qAD_n \\ n_2' &\cong (n_1' + n_3') / 2 & \Rightarrow RC / 2 = \frac{(W_b / 2)}{qAD_n} \cdot \frac{qA(W_b / 2)}{2} = \frac{W_b^2}{8D_n} = \frac{\tau_b}{4} \end{split}$$



Electron densities on the 2 sides of the box of width $W_b/2$ are n_1 and $n_2 = n_1/2$. The DC diffusion currents through either box are

$$\bar{I}_{diff\,1} = \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} (n_1' - n_2') = \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} (n_1' - n_1'/2) = \frac{qAD_n}{W_b} n_1' = \bar{I}_E$$

$$\bar{I}_{diff\,2} = \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} (n_2') = \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} (n_1'/2) = \frac{qAD_n}{W_b} n_1' = \bar{I}_E$$

Noise current of I_{diff_1} :

$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{diff_1}I_{diff_1}} = 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} \cdot (n_1' + n_2') = 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} \cdot (n_1' + n_1'/2) = 2q\bar{I}_{\rm E} \cdot (3)$$

Noise current of $I_{diff 2}$:

$$\widetilde{S}_{I_{diff\,2}I_{diff\,2}} = 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} \cdot (n_2'+0) = 2q \cdot \frac{qAD_n}{W_b/2} \cdot (n_1'/2) = 2q\bar{I}_{\rm E} \cdot (1)$$



First we calculate the emitter and collector fluxes arising from I_{diff_1} , noting that $RC = \tau_b / 2$

$$V = -I_{n1} \frac{R/2}{1 + j\omega RC/2}$$

$$\widetilde{I}_{E} = I_{N1} + V/R = I_{n1} \cdot \left[\frac{1/2 + j\omega RC/2}{1 + j\omega RC/2}\right] \cong I_{n1} \cdot \left[1/2 + j\omega RC/4\right] = I_{n1} \cdot \left[1/2 + j\omega \tau_{b}/8\right]$$

$$\widetilde{I}_{C} = -V/R = I_{n1} \cdot \left[\frac{1/2}{1 + j\omega RC/2}\right] \cong I_{n1} \cdot \left[1/2 - j\omega RC/4\right] = I_{n1} \cdot \left[1/2 - j\omega \tau_{b}/8\right]$$



We then calculate the emitter and collector fluxes arising from I_{diff_2} , noting symmetry $\tilde{I}_E = I_{n2} \cdot [1/2 - j\omega\tau_b/8]$ and $\tilde{I}_C = I_{n2} \cdot [1/2 + j\omega\tau_b/8]$

Combining this with the I_{n1} transfer function : $\widetilde{I}_{E} = I_{n1} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} + j\omega\tau_{b} / 8 \right] + I_{n2} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} - j\omega\tau_{b} / 8 \right]$ $\widetilde{I}_{C} = I_{n1} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} - j\omega\tau_{b} / 8 \right] + I_{n2} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} + j\omega\tau_{b} / 8 \right]$

But, from our transit time analyss : $I_C \cong \tilde{I}_C$ and $I_B \cong \tilde{I}_E - \tilde{I}_C \cdot [1 - j\omega\tau_C]$, hence $I_C \cong I_{n1}/2 + I_{n2}/2 + O(j\omega(\tau_b + \tau_c)) \cong I_{n1}/2 + I_{n2}/2$ and $I_B \cong \tilde{I}_E - \tilde{I}_C + j\omega\tau_C \cdot \tilde{I}_C$ $= I_{n1} \cdot [j\omega\tau_b/4] - I_{n2} \cdot [j\omega\tau_b/4] + I_{n1} \cdot j\omega\tau_C/2 + I_{n2} \cdot j\omega\tau_C/2$

We have found

$$\begin{split} I_{C} &\cong I_{n1}/2 + I_{n2}/2 \quad \text{and} \quad I_{B} \cong I_{n1} \cdot \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} + \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] + I_{n2} \cdot \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} - \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \\ \text{where} \quad \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg1}I_{dgg1}} &= 2q\overline{I}_{E} \cdot (3) \text{ and} \quad \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg2}I_{dgg2}} &= 2q\overline{I}_{E} \cdot (1) \\ \text{So:} \\ \widetilde{S}_{I_{c}} &= \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg1}I_{dgg1}} / 4 + \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg2}I_{dgg2}} / 4 = 2q\overline{I}_{E} \quad (!). \\ \widetilde{S}_{I_{b}} &= \left[\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} + \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right]^{2} \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg1}I_{adg1}} + \left[\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} - \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right]^{2} \widehat{S}_{I_{adg2}I_{dgg2}} \\ &= 2q\overline{I}_{E} \cdot \left[\omega^{2} \left(\tau_{C}^{2} + \left(\frac{\tau_{b}}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{\tau_{b}\tau_{c}}{2} \right) \right] \\ \widetilde{S}_{I_{b}I_{c}}} &\cong \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} + \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg1}I_{dgg1}} + \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} - \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg2}I_{dgg2}} \\ &= \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} + \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg1}I_{dgg1}} + \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} - \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg2}I_{dgg2}} \\ &= \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} + \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg1}I_{dgg1}} + \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} - \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{S}_{I_{adg2}I_{dgg2}} \\ &= \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} + \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot 3q\overline{I}_{E} + \left[j\omega \left(\frac{\tau_{C}}{2} - \frac{\tau_{b}}{4} \right) \right] \cdot q\overline{I}_{E} \end{split}$$

We have found

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}_{I_c} &= 2q\bar{I}_{\rm E} \quad (!).\\ \widetilde{S}_{I_B} &= 2q\bar{I}_{\rm B} + 2q\bar{I}_{\rm E} \cdot \left[\omega^2 \left(\tau_c^2 + \left(\frac{\tau_b}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{\tau_b\tau_c}{2}\right)\right]\\ \widetilde{S}_{I_bI_c} &= 2q\bar{I}_{\rm E} \cdot \left[j\omega \left(\tau_c + \frac{\tau_b}{4}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

The derivation was long and warrants checking for errors.

asdfdfasddf