

Hybrid Control and Switched Systems

Lecture #8 Stability and convergence of hybrid systems

João P. Hespanha

University of California
at Santa Barbara



Summary

Lyapunov stability of hybrid systems

Properties of hybrid systems

$\mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}} \equiv$ set of all piecewise continuous signals $x: [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, T \in (0, \infty]$

$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \equiv$ set of all piecewise constant signals $q: [0, T) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, T \in (0, \infty]$

Sequence property $\equiv p : \mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \times \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}} \rightarrow \{\text{false}, \text{true}\}$

E.g.,

$$p(q, x) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & q(t) \in \{1, 3\}, x(t) \geq x(t+3), \forall t \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A pair of signals $(q, x) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \times \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}$ **satisfies** p if $p(q, x) = \text{true}$

A hybrid automaton H **satisfies** p (write $H \models p$) if

$$p(q, x) = \text{true}, \quad \text{for every solution } (q, x) \text{ of } H$$

“**ensemble properties**” \equiv property of the whole family of solutions
(cannot be checked just by looking at isolated solutions)
e.g., continuity with respect to initial conditions...

Lyapunov stability of ODEs (recall)

$$\dot{x} = f(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$\mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}} \equiv$ set of all piecewise continuous signals taking values in \mathbb{R}^n

Given a signal $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}, \|x\|_{\text{sig}} := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t)\|$ signal norm

ODE can be seen as an operator

$$T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}$$


that maps $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into the solution that starts at $x(0) = x_0$

Definition (continuity definition):

A solution x^* is **(Lyapunov) stable** if T is continuous at $x_0^* := x^*(0)$, i.e.,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \|x_0 - x_0^*\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \|T(x_0) - T(x_0^*)\|_{\text{sig}} \leq \epsilon$$


$$\underbrace{\sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t) - x^*(t)\|}_{\leq \epsilon}$$



pend.m

Lyapunov stability of hybrid systems

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x) \quad (q, x) = \Phi(q^-, x^-) \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$



$\mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}} \equiv$ set of all piecewise continuous signals $x: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, T \in (0, \infty]$
 $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \equiv$ set of all piecewise constant signals $q: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}, T \in (0, \infty]$

Hybrid automaton can be seen as an operator

$$T: \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \times \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}$$

that maps $(q_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ into the solution that starts at $q(0) = q_0, x(0) = x_0$

Definition (continuity definition):

A solution (q^*, x^*) is **(Lyapunov) stable** if T is continuous at $(q^*(0), x^*(0))$.

To make sense of *continuity* we need ways to measure “distances” in $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \times \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}$

Lyapunov stability of hybrid systems

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x) \quad (q, x) = \Phi(q^-, x^-) \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

A few possible “metrics”

$$d_{\text{disc}}((q_1, x_1), (q_2, x_2)) := \begin{cases} \|x_1 - x_2\| & q_1 = q_2 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{one cares very much} \\ \text{about the discrete states} \\ \text{matching} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$d_{\text{cont}}((q_1, x_1), (q_2, x_2)) := \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{one does not care at all about the} \\ \text{discrete states matching} \end{array} \right\}$$

Definition (continuity definition):

A solution (q^*, x^*) is **(Lyapunov) stable** if T is continuous at $(q_0^*, x_0^*) := (q^*(0), x^*(0))$, i.e.,



$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : d_0((q^*(0), x^*(0)), (q(0), x(0))) \leq \delta \\ \Downarrow \\ \sup_{t \geq 0} d_T((q^*(t), x^*(t)), (q(t), x(t))) \leq \epsilon$$

1. If the solution starts close to (q^*, x^*) (with respect to the metric d_0) it will remain close to it forever (with respect to the metric d_T)

2. ϵ can be made arbitrarily small by choosing δ sufficiently small

Note: may actually not be metrics on $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ because one may want “zero-distance” between points. However, still define a topology on $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, which is what is really needed to make sense of continuity. See “alternative” lecture #8 for more...

Example #2: Thermostat





no trajectory is stable
for d_{disc} "metric" both on initial cond. and trajectory
($d_0 = d_T = d_{disc}$)

some trajectories are stable others unstable
for d_{cont} "metric" both on initial cond. and trajectory
($d_0 = d_T = d_{cont}$)

all trajectories are stable
for $d_0 = d_{disc}$ and $d_T = d_{cont}$

Why?


Example #5: Tank system




$d_{pump}((q_1, x_1), (q_2, x_2)) := \begin{cases} \|x_1 - x_2\| & q_1, q_2 \in \{1, 2\} \text{ or } q_1, q_2 \in \{3, 4\} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

this "metric" only distinguishes between modes based on the state of the pump

Example #4: Inverted pendulum swing-up



Example #4: Inverted pendulum swing-up



Asymptotic stability for hybrid systems

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x) \quad (q, x) = \Phi(q^-, x^-) \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Hybrid automaton can be seen as an operator

$$T : \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \times \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}$$

that maps $(q_0, x_0) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ into the solution that starts at $q(0) = q_0, x(0) = x_0$

Definition (continuity definition):

A solution (q^*, x^*) is **(Lyapunov) stable** if T is continuous at $(q_0^*, x_0^*) := (q^*(0), x^*(0))$, i.e.,


$$\begin{aligned} \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : d_0((q^*(0), x^*(0)), (q(0), x(0))) \leq \delta \\ \Downarrow \\ \sup_{t \geq 0} d_T((q^*(t), x^*(t)), (q(t), x(t))) \leq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Definition:


A solution (q^*, x^*) is **(globally) asymptotically stable** if it is stable, every solution (q, x) exists globally, and $q \rightarrow q^*, x \rightarrow x^*$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \tau > 0 \sup_{t \geq \tau} d_T((q^*(t), x^*(t)), (q(t), x(t))) \leq \epsilon$$

Example #7: Server system with congestion control




Example #7: Server system with congestion control



No, its difficult to have asymptotic stability for non-constant solutions due to the “synchronization” requirement. (not even stability... Always?)

Example #2: Thermostat




Stability of sets

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x) \quad (q, x) = \Phi(q^-, x^-) \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Poincaré distance between $(q, x), (q^*, x^*) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \times \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}$ after t_0

$$d_P((q, x), (q^*, x^*); t_0) := \sup_{t \geq t_0} \inf_{\tau \geq t_0} d_T((q(t), x(t)), (q^*(\tau), x^*(\tau)))$$



distance at the point t
where the $(q(t), x(t))$ is the
furthest apart from (q^*, x^*)

can also be viewed as the
distance from the trajectory
 (q, x) to the set
 $\{(q^*(t), x^*(t)) : t \geq t_0\}$

For constant trajectories (q^*, x^*) its just the sup-norm:

$$d_P((q, x), (q^*, x^*); t_0) := \sup_{t \geq t_0} d_T((q(t), x(t)), (q^*, x^*))$$

Stability of sets

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x) \quad (q, x) = \Phi(q^-, x^-) \quad q \in \mathcal{Q}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Poincaré distance between $(q, x), (q^*, x^*) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{sig}} \times \mathcal{X}_{\text{sig}}$ after t_0

$$d_P((q, x), (q^*, x^*); t_0) := \sup_{t \geq t_0} \inf_{\tau \geq t_0} d_T((q(t), x(t)), (q^*(\tau), x^*(\tau)))$$

Definition: A solution (q^*, x^*) is **Poincaré stable** if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : d_0((q(0), x(0)), (q^*(0), x^*(0))) \leq \delta$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$d_P((q^*, x^*), (q, x); 0) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \inf_{\tau \geq 0} d_T((q(t), x(t)), (q^*(\tau), x^*(\tau))) \leq \epsilon$$


in more modern terminology one would say that the following **set is stable**

$$\{(q^*(t), x^*(t)) : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{X}$$

Definition: A solution (q^*, x^*) is **Poincaré asymptotically stable** if it is Poincaré stable, every solution (q, x) exists globally, and $d_P((q, x), (q^*, x^*); t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

in more modern terminology one would say that the following **set is asymptotically stable:** $\{(q^*(t), x^*(t)) : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{X}$

Example #7: Server system with congestion control



To think about ...

- With hybrid systems there are many possible notions of stability. (especially due to the topology imposed on the discrete state)
WHICH ONE IS THE BEST?
(engineering question, not a mathematical one)

What type of perturbations do you want to consider on the initial conditions?
(this will define the topology on the initial conditions)

What type of changes are you willing to accept in the solution?
(this will define the topology on the solution)

- Even with ODEs there are several alternatives: e.g.,
 - $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \|x_0 - x_{eq}\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t) - x_{eq}\| \leq \epsilon$ Lyapunov
 - or
 - $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \|x_0 - x_{eq}\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \int_0^\infty \|x(t) - x_{eq}\| dt \leq \epsilon$ integral
 - or
 - $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \|x_0 - x_0^*\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow d_p(x, x^*; 0) \leq \epsilon$ Poincaré
 (even for linear systems these definitions may differ: Why?)

Next lecture...

Analysis tools for hybrid systems

1. Impact maps
 - Fixed-point theorem
 - Stability of periodic solutions
2. Decoupling
 - Switched systems
 - Supervisors