

Stochastic Hybrid Systems: Modeling, analysis, and applications to networks and biology

João P. Hespanha

Center for Control Engineering
and Computation

University of California
at Santa Barbara



Talk outline



1. A model for stochastic hybrid systems (SHSs)
2. Examples:
 - network traffic under TCP
 - networked control systems
3. Analysis tools for SHSs
 - Lyapunov
 - moment dynamics
4. More examples ...

Collaborators:


Stephan Bohacek (U Del), Katia Obraczka (UCSC),
Junsoo Lee (Sookmyung Univ.), Mustafa Khammash (UCSB)

Students:

Abhyudai Singh (UCSB), Yonggang Xu (UCSB)

Disclaimer: This is an overview, details in papers referenced...


Deterministic Hybrid Systems



$q(t) \in Q = \{1, 2, \dots\} \equiv$ discrete state
 $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \equiv$ continuous state
 } right-continuous by convention

we assume here a deterministic system so the invariant sets would be the exact complements of the guards

Stochastic Hybrid Systems




$q(t) \in Q = \{1, 2, \dots\} \equiv$ discrete state
 $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \equiv$ continuous state

Continuous dynamics:
 $\dot{x} = f(q, x, t)$


Transition intensities:
 $\lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

Reset-maps (one per transition intensity):
 $(q, x) \mapsto \phi_\ell(q, x, t) \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

Stochastic Hybrid Systems



Special case: When all λ_ℓ are constant, transitions are controlled by a continuous-time Markov process



Formal model—Summary

State space: $q(t) \in \mathcal{Q} = \{1, 2, \dots\} \equiv$ discrete state
 $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \equiv$ continuous state

Continuous dynamics:

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x, t) \quad f : \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

Transition intensities:

$$\lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad \lambda_\ell : \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

Reset-maps (one per transition intensity):


$$(q, x) \mapsto \phi_\ell(q, x, t) \quad \phi_\ell : \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

of transitions

Results:

1. [existence] Under appropriate regularity (Lipschitz) assumptions, there exists a measure “consistent” with the desired SHS behavior
2. [simulation] The procedure used to construct the measure is constructive and allows for efficient generation of *Monte Carlo sample paths*
3. [Markov] The pair $(q(t), x(t)) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is a (Piecewise-deterministic) Markov Process (in the sense of M. Davis, 1993)

Stochastic Hybrid Systems with diffusion UCSB



Continuous dynamics:

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x, t) + g(q, x, t)\dot{w}$$

$w \equiv$ Brownian motion process


Transition intensities:

$$\lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$

Reset-maps (one per transition intensity):

$$(q, x) \mapsto \phi_\ell(q, x, t) \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$


Example I: Transmission Control Protocol UCSB



congestion control \equiv selection of the rate r at which the server transmits packets

feedback mechanism \equiv packets are dropped by the network to indicate congestion

Example I: TCP congestion control UCSB



congestion control \equiv selection of the rate r at which the server transmits packets
 feedback mechanism \equiv packets are dropped by the network to indicate congestion


TCP (Reno) congestion control: packet sending rate given by

$$r(t) = \frac{w(t)}{RTT(t)}$$

congestion window (internal state of controller)
 round-trip-time (from server to client and back)

- initially w is set to 1
- until first packet is dropped, w increases exponentially fast (slow-start)
- after first packet is dropped, w increases linearly (congestion-avoidance)
- each time a drop occurs, w is divided by 2 (multiplicative decrease)

Example I: TCP congestion control UCSB



TCP (Reno) congestion control: packet sending rate given by


$$r(t) = \frac{w(t)}{RTT(t)}$$

congestion window (internal state of controller)
 round-trip-time (from server to client and back)



- initially w is set to 1
- until first packet is dropped, w increases exponentially fast (slow-start)
- after first packet is dropped, w increases linearly (congestion-avoidance)
- each time a drop occurs, w is divided by 2 (multiplicative decrease)

many SHS models for TCP...

long-lived TCP flows
(no delays)




on-off TCP flows
(no delays)



long-lived TCP flows with delay


on-off TCP flows with delay





[SIGMETRICS'03]

Example II: Estimation through network

process







state-estimator



- for simplicity:
- full-state available
 - no measurement noise
 - no quantization
 - no transmission delays


encoder logic \equiv determines *when* to send measurements to the network
decoder logic \equiv determines *how* to incorporate received measurements






Example III: And now for something completely different.. UCSB

Decaying-dimerizing chemical reactions (DDR):




SHS model




Inspired by Gillespie's Stochastic Simulation Algorithm for molecular reactions [Gillespie, 76]

Generalizations of the SHS model UCSB




1. Stochastic resets can be obtained by considering multiple intensities/reset-maps




One can further generalize this to resets governed by a continuous distribution [see paper]

$$x \sim \mu(q, x, dx)$$

Generalizations of the SHS model





2. *Deterministic guards* can also be emulated by taking limits of SHSs




The solution to the hybrid system with a deterministic guard is obtained as $\epsilon \downarrow 0^+$

This provides a mechanism to regularize systems with chattering and/or Zeno phenomena...

Example: Bouncing-ball





$c \in (0,1) \equiv$ energy absorbed at impact




The solution of this deterministic hybrid system is only defined up to the Zeno-time

Example: Bouncing-ball




$$\lambda_\epsilon(y, \dot{y}) := \begin{cases} \epsilon e^{-y/\epsilon} & \dot{y} < 0 \\ 0 & \dot{y} > 0 \end{cases}$$


$c \in (0,1) \equiv$ energy absorbed at impact



mean (blue)



95% confidence intervals (red and green)



Analysis—Lie Derivative

$$\dot{x} = f(x, t) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Given scalar-valued function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \psi(x, t) = \underbrace{\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} f(x, t)}_{L_f \psi} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$$

derivative along solution to ODE

Lie derivative of ψ

One can view L_f as an operator

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{space of scalar functions on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) & \rightarrow & \text{space of scalar functions on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ \psi(x, t) & \mapsto & L_f \psi(x, t) \end{array}$$

L_f completely defines the system dynamics

Generator of a SHS

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x, t) + g(q, x, t)\dot{w} \quad \lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad (q, x) = \phi_\ell(q^-, x^-, t)$$

continuous dynamics
transition intensities
reset-maps

Given scalar-valued function $\psi : \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[\psi(q, x, t)] = E \left[(L\psi)(q, x, t) \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{generator for the SHS} \\ \text{Dynkin's formula} \\ \text{(in differential form)} \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (L\psi)(q, x, t) := & \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} f(q, x, t) + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \quad \text{Lie derivative} \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \left(\psi(\phi_\ell(q, x, t), t) - \psi(q, x, t) \right) \lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad \text{reset term} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left(g(q, x, t)' \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} g(q, x, t) \right) \quad \text{diffusion term} \end{aligned}$$

instantaneous variation
intensity

L completely defines the SHS dynamics

Disclaimer: see following paper for technical assumptions [HSCC'04]

Generator of the SHS with diffusion

$$\dot{x} = f(q, x, t) + g(q, x, t)\dot{w} \quad \lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad (q, x) = \phi_\ell(q^-, x^-, t)$$

Attention:

These systems may have problems of existence of solution due to jumps!
(stochastic Zeno)

Given

where

E.g.

$\dot{x} = 0$

$x \mapsto 2x$

no global solution

"jumping makes jumping more likely"

$\dot{x} = 0$

$x \mapsto x^2$

no local solution for $x(0) > 1$

"probability of multiple jumps in short interval not sufficiently small"


L completely defines the SHS dynamics

Disclaimer: see following paper for technical assumptions [HSCC'04]

UCSB

Stochastic communication logics

error dynamics
in remote estimation




$$(L\psi)(e, t) = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial e} Ae + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + [\psi(0, t) - \psi(e, t)]\lambda(e) + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left(B' \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial e^2} B \right)$$

UCSB

Long-lived TCP flows


long-lived TCP flows
(with slow start)



$$(L\psi)(q, w, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial w} \frac{\log 2}{RTT} w + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + [\psi(\text{ca}, \frac{w}{2}) - \psi(\text{ss}, w)] \frac{p_{\text{drop}} w}{RTT} & q = \text{ss} \\ \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial w} \frac{1}{RTT} + \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + [\psi(\text{ca}, \frac{w}{2}) - \psi(\text{ss}, w)] \frac{p_{\text{drop}} w}{RTT} & q = \text{ca} \end{cases}$$

Lyapunov-based stability analysis

error dynamics
in NCS



$$\frac{d}{dt} E[\psi(e)] = E[(L\psi)(e)] \quad \text{Dynkin's formula}$$

$$(L\psi)(e) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial e} Ae + [\psi(0) - \psi(e)]\lambda(e) + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left(B' \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial e^2} B \right)$$

Expected value of error:


$$\psi(e) = e \quad \Rightarrow \quad (L\psi)(e) = (A - \lambda(e)I)e$$

2nd moment of the error:

$$\psi(e) = e'Pe \quad \Rightarrow \quad (L\psi)(e) = e' \left[\left(A - \frac{\lambda(e)}{2} I \right)' P + P \left(A - \frac{\lambda(e)}{2} I \right) \right] e + \text{trace} (B'PB)$$

Lyapunov-based stability analysis

error dynamics
in NCS



$$\frac{d}{dt} E[\psi(e)] = E[(L\psi)(e)] \quad \text{Dynkin's formula}$$

$$(L\psi)(e) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial e} Ae + [\psi(0) - \psi(e)]\lambda(e) + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left(B' \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial e^2} B \right)$$

Expected value of error:

$$\psi(e) = e \quad \Rightarrow \quad (L\psi)(e) = (A - \lambda(e)I)e$$

2nd moment of the error:

$$\psi(e) = e'Pe \quad \Rightarrow \quad (L\psi)(e) = e' \left[\left(A - \frac{\lambda(e)}{2} I \right)' P + P \left(A - \frac{\lambda(e)}{2} I \right) \right] e + \text{trace} (B'PB)$$


For constant rate: $\lambda(e) = \gamma$

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[e] = (A - \gamma I) E[e] \quad \frac{d}{dt} E[e'Pe] \leq -\mu E[e'Pe] + c, \quad \mu, c > 0$$

assuming $(A - \gamma/2 I)$ Hurwitz

Lyapunov-based stability analysis

error dynamics
in NCS



$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[\psi(e)] = \mathbb{E}[(L\psi)(e)] \quad \text{Dynkin's formula}$$

$$(L\psi)(e) = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial e} Ae + [\psi(0) - \psi(e)]\lambda(e) + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left(B' \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial e^2} B \right)$$

One can show...

For constant rate: $\lambda(e) = \gamma$

- 1. $\mathbb{E}[e] \rightarrow 0$ as long as $\gamma > \Re[\lambda(A)]$ getting more moments
- 2. $\mathbb{E}[\|e\|^m]$ bounded as long as $\gamma > 2m \Re[\lambda(A)]$ bounded requires higher jump intensities

both always true $\forall \gamma \geq 0$ if A Hurwitz
(no jumps needed for boundedness)

For polynomial rates: $\lambda(e) = (e' P e)^k \quad P > 0, k \geq 0$

- 1. $\mathbb{E}[e] \rightarrow 0$ (always)
 - 2. $\mathbb{E}[\|e\|^m]$ bounded $\forall m$
- Moreover, one can achieve the same $\mathbb{E}[\|e\|^2]$ with a smaller number of transmissions...

[ACTRA'04, CDC'04]

Analysis—Moments for SHS state

$\dot{x} = f(q, x, t)$	$\lambda_\ell(q, x, t)$	$(q, x) = \phi_\ell(q^-, x^-, t)$
continuous dynamics	transition intensities	reset-maps

\mathbf{z} (scalar) random variable with mean μ and variance σ^2

$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{z} \geq \epsilon \mid \mathbf{z} \geq 0) \leq \frac{\mu}{\epsilon}$ <p style="text-align: center; color: purple;">Markov inequality ($\forall \epsilon > 0$)</p>	$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{z} - a \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z} - a ^n]}{\epsilon^n}$ <p style="text-align: center; color: purple;">Bienaymé inequality ($\forall \epsilon > 0, a \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$)</p>	$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{z} - \mu \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$ <p style="text-align: center; color: purple;">Tchebychev inequality</p>
--	--	---

often a few low-order moments suffice to study a SHS...

Polynomial SHSs



$$\dot{x} = f(q, x, t) + g(q, x, t)\dot{w} \quad \lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad (q, x) = \phi_\ell(q^-, x^-, t)$$

continuous dynamics

transition intensities

reset-maps

Given scalar-valued function $\psi : \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[\psi(q, x, t)] = E \left[(L\psi)(q, x, t) \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{generator for the SHS} \\ \text{Dynkin's formula} \\ \text{(in differential form)} \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (L\psi)(q, x, t) &:= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} f(q, x, t) + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^m \left(\psi(\phi_\ell(q, x, t), t) - \psi(q, x, t) \right) \lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \left(g(q, x, t) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} g(q, x, t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

A SHS is called a *polynomial SHS* (pSHS) if its generator maps finite-order **polynomial on x** into finite-order **polynomials on x** . Typically, when

$x \mapsto f(q, x, t) \quad x \mapsto g(q, x, t) \quad x \mapsto \lambda_\ell(q, x, t) \quad x \mapsto \phi_\ell(q, x, t)$
are all polynomials $\forall q, t$

Moment dynamics for pSHS



$$x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$q(t) \in \mathcal{Q} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$$

continuous state

discrete state

Monomial test function: Given $\bar{q} \in \mathcal{Q} \quad m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}^n$

$$\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q, x) := \begin{cases} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_n^{m_n} & q = \bar{q} \\ 0 & q \neq \bar{q} \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for short } x^{(m)} \\ \end{array}$$

Uncentered moment:

$$\mu_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(t) := E \left[\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q(t), x(t)) \right]$$

E.g, $\mu_{q_1}^{(0,0,0,\dots,0)}(t) = P(q(t) = q_1) \quad \mu_{q_1}^{(1,1,0,\dots,0)}(t) = E[x_1(t)x_2(t)I_{q(t)=q_1}]$

$\mu_{q_1}^{(0,1,0,\dots,0)}(t) = E[x_2(t)I_{q(t)=q_1}] \quad \mu_{q_1}^{(2,0,0,\dots,0)}(t) = E[x_1(t)^2 I_{q(t)=q_1}]$

Moment dynamics for pSHS

$x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous state $q(t) \in \mathcal{Q} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ discrete state

Monomial test function: Given $\bar{q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}^n$

$$\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q, x) := \begin{cases} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_n^{m_n} & q = \bar{q} \\ 0 & q \neq \bar{q}, \end{cases} \quad \text{for short } x^{(m)}$$

Uncentered moment:

$$\mu_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(t) := \mathbb{E} [\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q(t), x(t))]$$

For polynomial SHS...


$\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q, x)$ monomial on x \Rightarrow $L\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q, x)$ polynomial on x \Rightarrow $L\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q, x)$ linear comb. of monomial test functions

$$\dot{\mu}_{\bar{q}}^{(m)} = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q, x)] = \mathbb{E} [(L\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)})(q, x)] = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \mu_{q_i}^{(m_i)}$$

linear moment dynamics

Moment dynamics for TCP

long-lived TCP flows



$$\mu^{(k)} := \mathbb{E}[r^k] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{w^k}{RTT^k} \right]$$

$$\dot{\mu}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{RTT^2} - \frac{p}{2} \mu^{(2)}$$


$$\dot{\mu}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{RTT^2} \mu^{(1)} - \frac{3p}{4} \mu^{(3)}$$

$$\dot{\mu}^{(3)} = \frac{3}{RTT^2} \mu^{(2)} - \frac{7p}{8} \mu^{(4)}$$

\vdots

Moment dynamics for TCP


long-lived TCP flows



$$\mu^{(k)} := E[r^k] = E\left[\frac{w^k}{RTT^k}\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{RTT^2} - \frac{p}{2}\mu^{(2)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(2)} &= \frac{2}{RTT^2}\mu^{(1)} - \frac{3p}{4}\mu^{(3)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(3)} &= \frac{3}{RTT^2}\mu^{(2)} - \frac{7p}{8}\mu^{(4)} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

on-off TCP flows (exp. distr. files)




$$\mu_{ca}^{(k)} := E[r^k I_{q=ca}] = E\left[\frac{w^k}{RTT^k} I_{q=ca}\right] \dots$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}_{off}^{(0)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ss}^{(0)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ca}^{(0)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ss}^{(1)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ca}^{(1)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ss}^{(2)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ca}^{(2)} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\tau_{off}^{-1}\mu_{off}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{k}\mu_{ss}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{k}\mu_{ca}^{(1)} \\ \tau_{off}^{-1}\mu_{off}^{(0)} - (\frac{1}{k} + p)\mu_{ss}^{(1)} \\ p\mu_{ss}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{k}\mu_{ca}^{(1)} \\ \frac{\tau_{off}^{-1}w_0}{RTT}\mu_{off}^{(0)} + \frac{\log 2}{RTT}\mu_{ss}^{(1)} - (\frac{1}{k} + p)\mu_{ss}^{(2)} \\ \frac{1}{RTT^2}\mu_{ca}^{(0)} + \frac{p}{2}\mu_{ss}^{(2)} - (\frac{1}{k} + \frac{p}{2})\mu_{ca}^{(2)} \\ \frac{\tau_{off}^{-1}w_0}{RTT^2}\mu_{off}^{(0)} + \frac{\log 4}{RTT}\mu_{ss}^{(2)} - (\frac{1}{k} + p)\mu_{ss}^{(3)} \\ \frac{2}{RTT^2}\mu_{ca}^{(1)} + \frac{p}{4}\mu_{ss}^{(3)} - (\frac{1}{k} + \frac{3p}{4})\mu_{ca}^{(3)} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

Truncated moment dynamics

Experimental evidence indicates that the (steady-state) sending rate is well approximated by a Log-Normal distribution




$$z \text{ Log-Normal} \Rightarrow E[z^3] = \left(\frac{E[z^2]}{E[z]}\right)^3$$

$$r \text{ Log-Normal (on each mode)} \Rightarrow \mu_{\bar{q},3} = E[r^3 | q = \bar{q}] \mu_{\bar{q},0} \approx \frac{E[r^2 | q = \bar{q}]^3}{E[r | q = \bar{q}]^3} \mu_{\bar{q},0} = \mu_{\bar{q},0} \left(\frac{\mu_{\bar{q},2}}{\mu_{\bar{q},1}}\right)^3$$

Data from: Bohacek, A stochastic model for TCP and fair video transmission, INFOCOM'03

Moment dynamics for TCP

long-lived TCP flows




$$\mu^{(k)} := E[r^k] = E \left[\frac{w^k}{RTT^k} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{RTT^2} - \frac{p}{2} \mu^{(1)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(2)} &= \frac{2}{RTT^2} \mu^{(1)} - \frac{3p}{4} \left(\frac{\mu^{(2)}}{\mu^{(1)}} \right)^3 \end{aligned}$$

finite-dimensional nonlinear ODEs

on-off TCP flows (exp. distr. files)





$$\mu_{ca}^{(k)} := E[r^k I_{q=ca}] = E \left[\frac{w^k}{RTT^k} I_{q=ca} \right] \dots$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}_{off}^{(0)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ss}^{(0)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ca}^{(0)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ss}^{(1)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ca}^{(1)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ss}^{(2)} \\ \dot{\mu}_{ca}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\tau_{off}^{-1} \mu_{off}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{k} \mu_{ss}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{k} \mu_{ca}^{(1)} \\ \tau_{off}^{-1} \mu_{off}^{(0)} - \left(\frac{1}{k} + p \right) \mu_{ss}^{(1)} \\ p \mu_{ss}^{(1)} - \frac{1}{k} \mu_{ca}^{(1)} \\ \frac{\tau_{off}^{-1} w_0}{RTT} \mu_{off}^{(0)} + \frac{\log 2}{RTT} \mu_{ss}^{(1)} - \left(\frac{1}{k} + p \right) \mu_{ss}^{(2)} \\ \frac{1}{RTT^2} \mu_{ca}^{(0)} + \frac{p}{2} \mu_{ss}^{(2)} - \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{p}{2} \right) \mu_{ca}^{(2)} \\ \frac{\tau_{off}^{-1} w_0^2}{RTT^2} \mu_{off}^{(0)} + \frac{\log 4}{RTT} \mu_{ss}^{(2)} - \left(\frac{1}{k} + p \right) \mu_{ss}^{(3)} \left(\frac{\mu_{ss}^{(2)}}{\mu_{ss}^{(1)}} \right)^3 \\ \frac{2}{RTT^2} \mu_{ca}^{(1)} + \frac{p}{4} \mu_{ss}^{(3)} \left(\frac{\mu_{ss}^{(2)}}{\mu_{ss}^{(1)}} \right)^3 - \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{3p}{4} \right) \mu_{ca}^{(3)} \left(\frac{\mu_{ca}^{(2)}}{\mu_{ca}^{(1)}} \right)^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Long-lived TCP flows

long-lived TCP flows with delay (one RTT average)



Truncated moment dynamics (revisited)

For polynomial SHS...

$$\dot{\mu}_{\bar{q}}^{(m)} = \frac{d}{dt} E[\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)}(q, x)] = E[(L\psi_{\bar{q}}^{(m)})(q, x)] = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \mu_{q_i}^{(m_i)}$$

linear moment dynamics

Stacking all moments into an (infinite) vector μ_{∞}

$$\dot{\mu}_{\infty} = A_{\infty} \mu_{\infty}$$

infinite-dimensional linear ODE

In TCP analysis...

$$\mu_{\infty} = \left. \begin{array}{c} \mu_{ss}^{(0)} \\ \mu_{ca}^{(0)} \\ \mu_{ss}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{ss}^{(3)} \\ \mu_{ca}^{(3)} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \mu \\ \bar{\mu} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{lower order} \\ \text{moments of interest} \\ \\ \text{moments of interest} \\ \text{that affect } \mu \text{ dynamics} \end{array} \quad \dot{\mu} = A\mu + B\bar{\mu}$$

approximated by nonlinear function of μ

Truncation by derivative matching

$$\dot{\mu}_{\infty} = A_{\infty} \mu_{\infty} \quad \text{infinite-dimensional linear ODE}$$

$$\dot{\mu} = A\mu + B\bar{\mu}$$

truncated linear ODE
(nonautonomous, not nec. stable)

$$\dot{\nu} = A\nu + B\varphi(\nu)$$

nonlinear approximate
moment dynamics

Assumption: 1) μ and ν remain bounded along solutions to

$$\dot{\mu}_{\infty} = A_{\infty} \mu_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\nu} = A\nu + B\varphi(\nu)$$

2) $\dot{\mu}_{\infty} = A_{\infty} \mu_{\infty}$ is (incrementally) asymptotically stable

Theorem: $\forall \delta > 0 \exists N$ s.t. if $\frac{d^k \mu}{dt^k} = \frac{d^k \nu}{dt^k}, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

then

$$\|\mu(t) - \nu(t)\| \leq \beta(\|\mu(t_0) - \nu(t_0)\|, t - t_0) + \delta, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0$$

class \mathcal{KL} function

Disclaimer: Just a loose statement. The "real" theorem is stated in [HSCC'05]

Truncation by derivative matching

infinite-dimensional linear ODE

$$\dot{\mu}_\infty = A_\infty \mu_\infty$$

$$\dot{\mu} = A\mu + B\bar{\mu}$$

truncated linear ODE
(nonautonomous, not nec. stable)

$$\dot{\nu} = A\nu + B\varphi(\nu)$$

nonlinear approximate
moment dynamics

Assumption: 1) μ and ν remain bounded along solutions to

$$\dot{\mu}_\infty = A_\infty \mu_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\nu} = A\nu + B\varphi(\nu)$$

2) $\dot{\mu}_\infty = A_\infty \mu_\infty$ is (incrementally) asymptotically stable

Theorem: $\forall \delta > 0 \exists N$ s.t. if $\frac{d^k \mu}{dt^k} = \frac{d^k \nu}{dt^k}, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

then

$$\|\mu(t) - \nu(t)\| \leq \beta(\|\mu(t_0) - \nu(t_0)\|, t - t_0) + \delta, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0$$

class \mathcal{KL} function

Proof idea:

- 1) N derivative matches $\Rightarrow \mu$ & ν match on compact interval of length T
- 2) stability of $A_\infty \Rightarrow$ matching can be extended to $[0, \infty)$

Truncation by derivative matching

infinite-dimensional linear ODE

- ☹ Given δ , finding N is very difficult
- ☺ In practice, small values of N (e.g., $N=2$) already yield good results
- ☺ Can use

$$\frac{d^k \mu}{dt^k} = \frac{d^k \nu}{dt^k}, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

Assu

to determine $\varphi(\cdot)$: $k=1 \rightarrow$ boundary condition on φ

$k=2 \rightarrow$ linear PDE on φ

Theorem: $\forall \delta > 0 \exists N$ s.t. if $\frac{d^k \mu}{dt^k} = \frac{d^k \nu}{dt^k}, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

then

$$\|\mu(t) - \nu(t)\| \leq \beta(\|\mu(t_0) - \nu(t_0)\|, t - t_0) + \delta, \quad \forall t \geq t_0 \geq 0$$


class \mathcal{KL} function

Proof idea:

- 1) N derivative matches $\Rightarrow \mu$ & ν match on compact interval of length T
- 2) stability of $A_\infty \Rightarrow$ matching can be extended to $[0, \infty)$

Moment dynamics for DDR

Decaying-dimerizing molecular reactions (DDR):




$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}^{(1,0)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(0,1)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(2,0)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(0,2)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(1,1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -c_1 + c_3 & 2c_4 & -c_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c_3}{2} & -c_4 - c_2 & \frac{c_3}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 - 2c_3 & 4c_4 & -2c_1 + 4c_3 & 0 & 4c_4 \\ -\frac{c_3}{2} & c_4 + c_2 & \frac{c_3}{2} & -2c_4 - 2c_2 & -c_3 \\ c_3 & -2c_4 & -\frac{3c_3}{2} & 2c_4 & -c_1 + c_3 - c_4 - c_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{(1,0)} \\ \mu^{(0,1)} \\ \mu^{(2,0)} \\ \mu^{(0,2)} \\ \mu^{(1,1)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -2c_3 & 0 \\ 0 & c_3 \\ \frac{c_3}{2} & -c_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{(3,0)} \\ \mu^{(2,1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{(1,0)} &:= E[x_1] & \mu^{(2,0)} &:= E[x_1^2] & \mu^{(3,0)} &:= E[x_1^3] \\ \mu^{(0,1)} &:= E[x_2] & \mu^{(0,2)} &:= E[x_2^2] & \mu^{(2,1)} &:= E[x_1^2 x_2] \\ & & \mu^{(1,1)} &:= E[x_1 x_2] & & \end{aligned}$$

Truncated DDR model


Decaying-dimerizing molecular reactions (DDR):



$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mu}^{(1,0)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(0,1)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(2,0)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(0,2)} \\ \dot{\mu}^{(1,1)} \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} -c_1 + c_3 & 2c_4 & -c_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c_3}{2} & -c_4 - c_2 & \frac{c_3}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_1 - 2c_3 & 4c_4 & -2c_1 + 4c_3 & 0 & 4c_4 \\ -\frac{c_3}{2} & c_4 + c_2 & \frac{c_3}{2} & -2c_4 - 2c_2 & -c_3 \\ c_3 & -2c_4 & -\frac{3c_3}{2} & 2c_4 & -c_1 + c_3 - c_4 - c_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{(1,0)} \\ \mu^{(0,1)} \\ \mu^{(2,0)} \\ \mu^{(0,2)} \\ \mu^{(1,1)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -2c_3 & 0 \\ 0 & c_3 \\ \frac{c_3}{2} & -c_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\mu^{(2,0)}}{\mu^{(1,0)}} \right)^3 \\ \left(\frac{\mu^{(2,0)}}{\mu^{(0,1)}} \right) \left(\frac{\mu^{(1,1)}}{\mu^{(1,0)}} \right)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

by matching
 $\frac{d^k \mu}{dt^k} = \frac{d^k \nu}{dt^k}, \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2\}$
 for deterministic distributions

Monte Carlo vs. truncated model




Fast time-scale
(transient)

(lines essentially
undistinguishable
at this scale)

Parameters from: Rathinam, Petzold, Cao, Gillespie, Stiffness in stochastic chemically reacting systems: The implicit tau-leaping method. J. of Chemical Physics, 2003

Monte Carlo vs. truncated model



Slow time-scale
evolution

error only noticeable when
populations become very small
(a couple of molecules,
still adequate to study cellular
reactions)

Parameters from: Rathinam, Petzold, Cao, Gillespie, Stiffness in stochastic chemically reacting systems: The implicit tau-leaping method. J. of Chemical Physics, 2003

Conclusions



1. A simple SHS model (inspired by piecewise deterministic Markov Processes) can go a long way in modeling network traffic
2. The analysis of SHSs is generally difficult but there are tools available (generator, Lyapunov methods, moment dynamics, truncations)
3. This type of SHSs (and tools) finds use in several areas (traffic modeling, networked control systems, molecular biology, population dynamics in ecosystems)

Bibliography



- M. Davis. Markov Models & Optimization. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1993
- S. Bohacek, J. Hespanha, J. Lee, K. Obraczka. Analysis of a TCP hybrid model. In *Proc. of the 39th Annual Allerton Conf. on Comm., Contr., and Computing*, Oct. 2001.
- S. Bohacek, J. Hespanha, J. Lee, K. Obraczka. A Hybrid Systems Modeling Framework for Fast and Accurate Simulation of Data Communication Networks. In *Proc. of the ACM Int. Conf. on Measurements and Modeling of Computer Systems (SIGMETRICS)*, June 2003.
- J. Hespanha. Stochastic Hybrid Systems: Applications to Communication Networks. In R. Alur, G. Pappas, *Hybrid Systems: Computation and Control*, LNCS 1993, pp. 387-401, 2004.
- João Hespanha. Polynomial Stochastic Hybrid Systems. In Manfred Morari, Lothar Thiele, *Hybrid Systems: Computation and Control*, LNCS 3414, pp. 322-338, Mar. 2005.
- J. Hespanha, A. Singh. Stochastic Models for Chemically Reacting Systems Using Polynomial Stochastic Hybrid Systems. To appear in the *Int. J. on Robust Control Special Issue on Control at Small Scales*, 2005.
- A. Singh, J. Hespanha. Moment Closure Techniques for Stochastic Models in Population Biology. Oct. 2005. Submitted to publication.
- Y. Xu, J. Hespanha. Communication Logic Design and Analysis for NCSs. ACTRA'04.
- Y. Xu, J. Hespanha. Optimal Communication Logics for NCSs. In *Proc. CDC*, 2004.

All papers (and some ppt presentations) available at
<http://www.ece.ucsb.edu/~hespanha>