

Coordinated path-following of multiple underactuated autonomous vehicles with bidirectional communication constraints

A. Pedro Aguiar, Reza Ghabcheloo, António Pascoal, Carlos Silvestre, João Hespanha, and Isaac Kaminer

Abstract—This paper addresses the problem of steering a group of underactuated autonomous vehicles along given spatial paths, while holding a desired inter-vehicle formation pattern. For a general class of vehicles moving in either two or three-dimensional space, we show how Lyapunov-based techniques and graph theory can be brought together to yield a decentralized control structure where the dynamics of the cooperating vehicles and the constraints imposed by the topology of the inter-vehicle communications network are explicitly taken into account. Path-following for each vehicle amounts to reducing the geometric error to a small neighborhood of the origin. The desired spatial paths do not need to be of a particular type (e.g., trimming trajectories) and can be any sufficiently smooth curves. Vehicle coordination is achieved by adjusting the speed of each vehicle along its path according to information on the positions and speeds of a subset of the other vehicles, as determined by the communications topology adopted. We illustrate our design procedure for underwater vehicles moving in three-dimensional space. Simulations results are presented and discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Increasingly challenging mission scenarios and the advent of powerful embedded systems and communication networks have spawned widespread interest in the problem of coordinated motion control of multiple autonomous vehicles. The types of applications envisioned are manifold and include aircraft and spacecraft formation flying control [5], [11], [15], coordinated control of land robots [6], [14], and control of multiple surface and underwater vehicles [7], [13], [16].

In spite of significant progress in the area, however, much work remains to be done to develop strategies capable of yielding robust performance of a fleet of vehicles in the presence of complex vehicle dynamics, severe communication constraints, and partial vehicle failures. These difficulties are specially challenging in the field of marine robotics for two main reasons: i) the dynamics of marine vehicles are often complex and cannot be simply ignored or drastically simplified for control design purposes, and ii) underwater communications and positioning rely heavily on acoustic sys-

tems, which are plagued with intermittent failures, latency, and multipath effects.

Inspired by the developments in the field, this paper tackles a problem in coordinated vehicle control that departs slightly from mainstream work reported in the literature. Specifically, we consider the problem of *coordinated path-following* where *multiple vehicles are required to follow pre-specified spatial paths while keeping a desired inter-vehicle formation pattern in time*. This problem arises for example in the operation of multiple autonomous underwater vehicles (AUV) for fast acoustic coverage of the seabed. In this application, two or more vehicles are required to fly above the seabed at the same or different depths, along geometrically similar spatial paths, and map the seabed using identical suites of acoustic sensors. By requesting that the vehicles traverse identical paths so that the projections of the acoustic beams on the seabed exhibit some overlapping, large areas can be covered in a short time. These objectives impose constraints on the inter-vehicle formation pattern. A number of other scenarios can of course be envisioned that require coordinated motion control of marine vehicles.

We solve the coordinated path-following problem for a general class of underactuated vehicles moving in either two or three-dimensional space. The solution adopted is well rooted in Lyapunov-based theory and addresses explicitly the vehicle dynamics as well as the constraints imposed by the topology of the inter-vehicle communications network. The latter are tackled in the framework of graph theory [12], which seems to be the tool par excellence to study the impact of communication topologies on the performance that can be achieved with coordination [8]. The class of vehicles for which the design procedure is applicable is quite general and includes any vehicle modeled as a rigid-body subject to a controlled force and either one controlled torque if it is only moving on a planar surface or two or three independent control torques for a vehicle moving in three dimensional space. Furthermore, contrary to most of the approaches described in the literature, the controller proposed does not suffer from geometric singularities due to the parametrization of the vehicle's rotation matrix. This is possible because the attitude control problem is formulated directly in the group of rotations $SO(3)$.

With the set-up adopted, path-following (in space) and inter-vehicle coordination (in time) are essentially decoupled. Path-following for each vehicle amounts to reducing a conveniently defined error variable to zero. The desired spatial paths do not need to be of a particular type (e.g., trimming trajectories) and can be any sufficiently smooth curves. Vehicle coordination is achieved by adjusting the speed of

Research supported in part by the Portuguese FCT POSI programme under framework QCA III and by project MAYA-Sub of the AdI. The second author benefited from a scholarship of FCT.

A. Pedro Aguiar, Reza Ghabcheloo, António Pascoal, and Carlos Silvestre are with the Dept. Electrical Engineering and Computers and the Institute for Systems and Robotics, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal {pedro,reza,antonio,cjs}@isr.ist.utl.pt

João Hespanha is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9560, USA hespanha@ece.ucsb.edu

Isaac Kaminer is with the Department of Mechanical and Astronautical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA 93943, USA kaminer@nps.navy.edu

each of the vehicles along its path, according to information on the relative position and speed of the other vehicles, as determined by the communications topology adopted. No other kinematic or dynamic information is exchanged among the vehicles.

This paper builds upon and combine previous results obtained by the authors on path-following control [2], [4] and coordination control [9], [10].

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider an underactuated vehicle modeled as a rigid body subject to external forces and torques. Let $\{\mathcal{T}\}$ be an inertial coordinate frame and $\{\mathcal{B}\}$ a body-fixed coordinate frame whose origin is located at the center of mass of the vehicle. The configuration (R, p) of the vehicle is an element of the Special Euclidean group $SE(3) := SO(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3$, where $R \in SO(3) := \{R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} : RR' = I_3, \det(R) = +1\}$ is a rotation matrix that describes the orientation of the vehicle by mapping body coordinates into inertial coordinates, and $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the position of the origin of $\{\mathcal{B}\}$ in $\{\mathcal{T}\}$. Denoting by $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the linear and angular velocities of the vehicle relative to $\{\mathcal{T}\}$ expressed in $\{\mathcal{B}\}$, respectively, the following kinematic relations apply:

$$\dot{p} = Rv, \quad (1a)$$

$$\dot{R} = RS(\omega), \quad (1b)$$

where

$$S(x) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_2 \\ x_3 & 0 & -x_1 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall x := (x_1, x_2, x_3)' \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

We consider here underactuated vehicles with dynamic equations of motion of the following form:

$$\mathbf{M}\dot{v} = -S(\omega)\mathbf{M}v + f_v(v, p, R) + g_1u_1, \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{J}\dot{\omega} = -S(v)\mathbf{M}v - S(\omega)\mathbf{J}\omega + f_\omega(v, \omega, p, R) + G_2u_2, \quad (2b)$$

where $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ and $\mathbf{J} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ denote constant symmetric positive definite mass and inertia matrices; $u_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the control inputs, which act upon the system through a constant nonzero vector $g_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and a constant nonsingular matrix¹ $G_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, respectively; and $f_v(\cdot)$, $f_\omega(\cdot)$ represent all the remaining forces and torques acting on the body. For the special case of an underwater vehicle, \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{J} also include the so-called hydrodynamic added-mass M_A and added-inertia J_A matrices, respectively, i.e., $\mathbf{M} = M_{RB} + M_A$, $\mathbf{J} = J_{RB} + J_A$, where M_{RB} and J_{RB} are the rigid-body mass and inertia matrices, respectively.

For an underactuated vehicle restricted to move on a planar surface, the same equations of motion (1)–(2) apply without the first two right-hand-side terms in (2b). Also, in this case, $(R, p) \in SE(2)$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $g_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $G_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, with all the other terms in (2) having appropriate dimensions, and the skew-symmetric matrix $S(\omega)$ is given by $S(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

For each vehicle, the problem of following a predefined desired path is stated as follows:

¹See [4, Remark 4] for the special case of $G_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}$.

Path-following problem: Let $p_{d_i}(\gamma_i) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be a desired path parameterized by a continuous variable $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v_{r_i}(\gamma_i) \in \mathbb{R}$ a desired speed assignment for the vehicle i . Suppose also that $p_{d_i}(\gamma_i)$ is sufficiently smooth and its derivatives (with respect to γ_i) are bounded. Design a controller such that all the closed-loop signals are bounded, and the position of the vehicle i) converges to and remains inside a tube centered around the desired path that can be made arbitrarily thin, i.e., $\|p_i(t) - p_{d_i}(\gamma_i(t))\|$ converges to a neighborhood of the origin that can be made arbitrarily small, and ii) satisfies a desired speed assignment v_{r_i} along the path, i.e., $|\dot{\gamma}_i(t) - v_{r_i}(\gamma_i(t))| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We now consider the problem of coordinated path-following control. In the most general set-up, one is given a set of $n \geq 2$ autonomous underactuated vehicles and a set of n spatial paths $p_{d_i}(\gamma_i)$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and require that vehicle i follow path p_{d_i} . As will become clear, the coordination problem will be solved by adjusting the speeds of the vehicles as functions of the “along-path” distances among them. Formally, the along-path distance between vehicle i and j is defined as $\gamma_{ij} := \gamma_i - \gamma_j$, and coordination achieved when $\gamma_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ [10].

Let J_i be the index set of the vehicles that vehicle i communicates with. Assume that the underlying communication graph is undirected and connected (i.e., the communication links are bidirectional and there exists a path connecting every two vehicles). In this case the graph Laplacian $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric, with a simple eigenvalue at zero and an associated eigenvector $\mathbf{1} = [1]_{n \times 1}$. The other eigenvalues are positive. See [12] for the definitions and the properties of graphs. The Laplacian can be decomposed as $L = MM'$, where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n-1}$, $\text{Rank } M' = \text{Rank } L = n - 1$ and $M'\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$. Define the “graph-induced coordination error” as $\theta := M\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, where $\gamma := [\gamma_i]_{n \times 1}$. From the properties of M , it can be easily seen that $\theta = \mathbf{0}$ is equivalent to $\gamma_i = \gamma_j, \forall i, j$. Consequently, if θ is driven to zero asymptotically, so are the coordination errors $\gamma_i - \gamma_j$ and the problem of coordinated path-following is solved.

Coordination problem: Derive a control law for $\ddot{\gamma}_i$ as a function of γ_j and $\dot{\gamma}_j$ where $j \in J_i$ such that θ approaches a small neighborhood of zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Each of the n vehicles has access to its own states and exchanges information on its coordination state γ_i and speed $\dot{\gamma}_i$ with some or all of the other vehicles defined by sets J_i .

III. MAIN RESULTS

A. Path-following

In this section, we briefly discuss the results presented in [2], [4] to solve the path-following problem. Let $e_i := R_i'[p_i(t) - p_{d_i}(\gamma_i(t))]$ be the path-following error of the vehicle i expressed in its body-fixed frame. Borrowing from the techniques of backstepping, in [2], [4] a feedback law for u_{1_i} , u_{2_i} was derived that makes the time-derivative of the Lyapunov function

$$V_i := \frac{1}{2}e_i'e_i + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_i'\mathbf{M}_i^2\varphi_i + \frac{1}{2}z_{2_i}'\mathbf{J}_i z_{2_i}$$




Fig. 1. Coordination of 3 AUVs in in-line formation.

take the form

$$\dot{V}_i = -k_{e_i} e_i' \mathbf{M}_i^{-1} e_i + e_i' \delta_i - \varphi_i' K_{\varphi_i} \varphi_i - z_{2_i}' K_{z_{2_i}} z_{2_i} + \mu_i \eta_i$$

where φ_i and z_{2_i} are linear and angular velocity errors (see [2], [4] for details), k_{e_i} , K_{φ_i} , $K_{z_{2_i}}$ are positive definite matrices, δ_i is a small constant vector, and μ_i captures the terms associated to the speed error $\eta_i := \dot{\gamma}_i - v_{r_i}$. At this point we remark that if all that is required is to solve a pure path-following problem then one can augment V_i with the quadratic term $\frac{1}{2} \eta_i^2$ and utilize the freedom of assigning a feedback law to $\dot{\gamma}_i$ in order to make \dot{V}_i negative definite (see details in [2], [4]). This strategy must be modified to address coordination as shown below.

B. Coordinated path-following

This section presents a solution to the coordinated path-following problem. Let $\eta := \dot{\gamma} - v_L \mathbf{1}$ be the speed vector error, where v_L is a desired speed profile assigned to the formation. Consider the composite (coordination + path-following) Lyapunov function

$$V_c := \frac{1}{2} \theta' \theta + \frac{1}{2} z' z + \sum_{i=1}^n V_i$$

where $z := \eta + A_1^{-1} \mu + A_1^{-1} M \theta$. Computing the time-derivative of V_c and assigning the following feedback law for $\ddot{\gamma}$

$$\ddot{\gamma} = -A_1^{-1} \dot{\mu} - A_1 \eta - A_1^{-1} L \eta - A_2 z, \quad (3)$$

where A_1 , A_2 are diagonal positive definite matrices, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_c = & -\eta' A_1 \eta - z' A_2 z - \sum_{i=1}^n [k_{e_i} e_i' \mathbf{M}_i^{-1} e_i - e_i' \delta_i \\ & + \varphi_i' K_{\varphi_i} \varphi_i + z_{2_i}' K_{z_{2_i}} z_{2_i}]. \end{aligned}$$

It is now straightforward to prove the following result:

Theorem 1: The feedback laws for u_{1_i} , u_{2_i} for each vehicle i obtained in [2], [4] together with (3) solve the coordination and the path-following problems.

IV. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

This section illustrates the application of the previous results to underwater vehicles moving in three-dimensional space.

A. Path-following and coordination of underwater vehicles in 3-D space

Consider an ellipsoidal shaped underactuated autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV) not necessarily neutrally buoyant. Let $\{\mathcal{B}\}$ be a body-fixed coordinate frame whose origin is located at the center of mass of the vehicle and suppose that we have available a pure body-fixed control force τ_u in the $x_{\mathcal{B}}$ direction, and two independent control torques τ_q and τ_r about the $y_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $z_{\mathcal{B}}$ axes of the vehicle, respectively. The kinematics and dynamics equations of motion of the vehicle can be written as (1)–(2), where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M} &= \text{diag}\{m_{11}, m_{22}, m_{33}\}, & u_1 &= \tau_u \\ \mathbf{J} &= \text{diag}\{J_{11}, J_{22}, J_{33}\}, & u_2 &= (\tau_q, \tau_r)' \end{aligned}$$

$$D_v(v) = \text{diag}\{X_{v_1} + X_{|v_1|v_1}|v_1|, Y_{v_2} + Y_{|v_2|v_2}|v_2|, Z_{v_3} + Z_{|v_3|v_3}|v_3|\}$$

$$D_\omega(\omega) = \text{diag}\{K_{\omega_1} + K_{|\omega_1|\omega_1}|\omega_1|, M_{\omega_2} + M_{|\omega_2|\omega_2}|\omega_2|, N_{\omega_3} + N_{|\omega_3|\omega_3}|\omega_3|\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & G_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{g}_1(R) &= R' \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -B \end{pmatrix}, & \bar{g}_2(R) &= S(r_{\mathcal{B}}) R' \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ B \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 2. Time evolution of the coordination errors $\gamma_{12} := \gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ and $\gamma_{13} := \gamma_1 - \gamma_3$.




Fig. 3. Time evolution of the path-following errors $\|p_i - p_{d_i}\|$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

$$f_v = -D_v(v)v - \bar{g}_1(R), \quad f_\omega = -D_\omega(\omega)\omega - \bar{g}_2(R).$$

The gravitational and buoyant forces are given by $W = mg$ and $B = \rho g \nabla$, respectively, where m is the mass, ρ is the mass density of the water and ∇ is the volume of displaced water. The numerical values used for the physical parameters match those of the *Sirene* AUV, described in [1], [3].

B. Simulation results

This section contains the results of simulations that illustrate the performance obtained with the coordinated path-following control laws developed in the paper. Figures 1–3 illustrate the situation where three underactuated AUVs are required to follow paths of the form

$$p_{d_i}(\gamma_i) = \left[a_1 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\gamma_i + \phi_d\right), a_1 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\gamma_i + \phi_d\right), a_2\gamma_i + z_{0_i} \right],$$

with $a_1 = 20 \text{ m}$, $a_2 = 0.05 \text{ m}$, $T = 400$, $\phi_d = -\frac{3\pi}{4}$, and $z_{0_1} = -10 \text{ m}$, $z_{0_2} = -5 \text{ m}$, $z_{0_3} = 0 \text{ m}$. The initial conditions of the AUVs are $p_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1) = (10 \text{ m}, -10 \text{ m}, -5 \text{ m})$, $p_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2) = (5 \text{ m}, -15 \text{ m}, 0 \text{ m})$, $p_3 = (x_3, y_3, z_3) = (0 \text{ m}, -20 \text{ m}, 5 \text{ m})$, $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = I$, and $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_3 = \mathbf{0}$. The vehicles are required to keep a formation pattern whereby they are aligned along a vertical line. In the simulation, vehicle 1 is allowed to communicate with vehicles 2 and 3, but the last two do not communicate between themselves directly. The reference speed v_L was set to $v_L = 0.5 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Notice how the vehicles adjust their speeds to meet the formation requirements. Moreover, the coordination errors $\gamma_{12} := \gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ and $\gamma_{13} := \gamma_1 - \gamma_3$ and

the path-following errors converge to a small neighborhood of the origin.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The paper addressed the problem of steering a group of underactuated autonomous vehicles along given spatial paths, while holding a desired inter-vehicle formation pattern (coordinated path-following). A solution was derived that builds on recent results on path-following control [2], [4] and state-agreement (coordination) control [9], [10] obtained by the authors. The solution proposed builds on Lyapunov based techniques and addresses explicitly the constraints imposed by the topology of the inter-vehicle communications network. Furthermore, it leads to a decentralized control law whereby the exchange of data among the vehicles is kept at a minimum. Simulations illustrated the efficacy of the solution proposed. Further work is required to extend the methodology proposed to address the problems of robustness against temporary communication failures.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Aguiar, “Nonlinear motion control of nonholonomic and underactuated systems,” Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Electrical Engineering, Instituto Superior Técnico, IST, Lisbon, Portugal, 2002.
- [2] A. P. Aguiar and J. P. Hespanha, “Logic-based switching control for trajectory-tracking and path-following of underactuated autonomous vehicles with parametric modeling uncertainty,” in *Proc. of the 2004 Amer. Contr. Conf.*, Boston, MA, USA, June 2004.
- [3] A. P. Aguiar and A. M. Pascoal, “Modeling and control of an autonomous underwater shuttle for the transport of benthic laboratories,” in *Proc. of the Oceans’97 Conf.*, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, Oct. 1997.
- [4] A. P. Aguiar and J. P. Hespanha, “Trajectory-tracking and path-following of underactuated autonomous vehicles with parametric modeling uncertainty,” Submitted to *IEEE Trans. on Automat. Contr.*, July 2005.
- [5] R. Beard, J. Lawton, and F. Hadaegh, “A coordination architecture for spacecraft formation control,” *IEEE Trans. on Contr. Systems Tech.*, vol. 9, pp. 777–790, 2001.
- [6] J. Desai, J. Otrowski, and V. Kumar, “Controlling formations of multiple robots,” *IEEE Trans. on Contr. Systems Tech.*, vol. 9, pp. 777–790, 2001.
- [7] P. Encarnação and A. Pascoal, “Combined trajectory tracking and path following: an application to the coordinated control of marine craft,” in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Decision and Control (CDC)*, Orlando, Florida, 2001.
- [8] A. Fax and R. Murray, “Information flow and cooperative control of vehicle formations,” in *Proc. 2002 IFAC World Congress*, Barcelona, Spain, 2002.
- [9] R. Ghabcheloo, A. Pascoal, C. Silvestre, and I. Kaminer, “Coordinated path following control using nonlinear techniques,” Instituto Superior Técnico-ISR, Internal report CPF02NL, 2005.
- [10] —, “Nonlinear coordinated path following control of multiple wheeled robots with bidirectional communication constraints,” *Int. J. Adapt. Control Signal Process.*, Submitted 2005.
- [11] F. Gioletti, L. Pollini, and M. Innocenti, “Autonomous formation flight,” *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 20, pp. 33–34, 2000.
- [12] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Graduated Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2001.
- [13] L. Lapierre, D. Soetanto, and A. Pascoal, “Coordinated motion control of marine robots,” in *Proc. 6th IFAC Conference on Manoeuvring and Control of Marine Craft (MCMC)*, Girona, Spain, 2003.
- [14] P. Ögren, M. Egerstedt, and X. Hu, “A control lyapunov function approach to multiagent coordination,” *IEEE Trans. on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 18, pp. 847 – 851, Oct. 2002.
- [15] M. Pratchter, J. D’Azzo, and A. Proud, “Tight formation control,” *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 246–254, March-April 2001.
- [16] R. Skjetne, S. Moi, and T. Fossen, “Nonlinear formation control of marine craft,” in *Proc. of the 41st Conf. on Decision and Contr.*, Las Vegas, NV., 2002.