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Lecture #11: Hydrogen like Solutions and Angular momentum
E/R: Chapter 7
Quantum numbers

• $N, l, m_l$ are called quantum numbers.
• The energy eigenvalue depends only on $n$, so $N$ is called the principle quantum number.

• The angular momentum depends on $l$, so $l$ is called the azimuthal quantum number.

• The energy in a magnetic field depends on $m_l$, so $m_l$ is called the magnetic quantum number.

\[
E_n = -\frac{E_0}{n^2}
\]
The first convincing verification of Schrödinger’s theory was this calculation of eigenvalues, in agreement with experiment, just as Bohr’s model.

\[ E_n = -\frac{E_0}{n^2} \]
Fine structure splitting

When the spectral lines of the hydrogen spectrum are examined at very high resolution, they are found to be closely-spaced doublets. This splitting is called fine structure (and was one of the first experimental evidences for electron spin).

How to explain with Bohr theory?
Sommerfeld’s model: Attempt to explain using elliptical orbits. Treat relativistically.

How to explain with Schrödinger’s theory?
(Soon...)

However, dashed lines don’t appear experimentally. Why?
Selection rules....

**Figure 4-19** The fine-structure splitting of some energy levels of the hydrogen atom. The splitting is greatly exaggerated. Transitions which produce observed lines of the hydrogen spectrum are indicated by solid arrows.
Comparison of Solutions

Figure 7-4 A comparison between the allowed energies of several binding potentials. The three-dimensional Coulomb potential is shown in a cross-sectional view along a diameter; the other potentials are one-dimensional.

\[ E_n = (n+1/2)\hbar \nu \]

\[ E_n = -\frac{E_0}{n^2} \]
Examination of the solution

• The solution of the spherical potential has solutions for particular quantum numbers $m_l, l, n, E$ where

\[
|m_l| = 0, 1, 2, ...
\]

\[
l = |m_l|, |m_l| + 1, ...
\]

\[
n = l + 1, l + 2, ...
\]
Examination of the solution

- The solution of the spherical potential has solutions for particular quantum numbers $m, l, n, E$ where
  \[
  |m_l| = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
  \]
  \[
  l = |m_l|, |m_l| + 1, \ldots
  \]
  \[
  n = l + 1, l + 2, \ldots
  \]

- This is equivalent to
  \[
  n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
  \]
  \[
  l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots n - 1
  \]
  \[
  m_l = l - l + 1, \ldots 0 \ldots l - 1, l
  \]
Degeneracy of the solution

\[ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \]
\[ l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots n - 1 \]
\[ m_l = -l, -l + 1, \ldots 0, \ldots l - 1, l \]

• For each value of \( n \),
  – There are \( n \) possible values of \( l \)

• For each value of \( l \)
  – There are \( 2l + 1 \) values of \( m \)

• For each value of \( n \),
  – There are \( n^2 \) degenerate eigenfunctions.
Actual hydrogen atom

• 6 spatial coordinates:
  - $x_e, y_e, z_e$
  - $x_p, y_p, z_p$

  – What to do?
Actual hydrogen atom

• 6 spatial coordinates:
  – $x_e, y_e, z_e$
  – $x_p, y_p, z_p$

• Switch to center of mass coordinates

• The electron moves about a stationary, infinite mass nucleus. The problem reduces to 3 spatial coordinates
  – $x_{re}, y_{re}, z_{re}$
  – With reduced mass $\mu$
    \[
    \mu = \frac{M}{M + m} m
    \]
3 spatial variables, 3 quantum numbers

\[ m = 9.1 \times 10^{-31} \text{kg} \]
\[ M = 1672 \times 10^{-31} \text{kg} \]
\[ \mu = 9.05 \times 10^{-31} \text{kg} \]

\[ E_n = -\frac{E_0}{n^2} \]

where

\[ E_0 = \frac{\mu Z^2 e^4}{(4\pi \varepsilon_0)^2 2\hbar^2} = 13.6 \text{eV} \]

\[ a_0 = \frac{4\pi \varepsilon_0 \hbar^2}{\mu e^2} = 525.4 \]
Lowest energy solution

• \( n=1 \)
• \( l=0 \)
• \( m_l=0 \)
• \( E=-13.6 \text{ eV} \)
• There is only one solution (no degeneracy)

\[
\psi_{100} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} e^{-Zr/a_0}
\]

• The solution is spherically symmetric.
Lowest energy solution

- $n=1$
- $l=0$
- $m_l=0$
- $E=-13.6$ eV
- There is only one solution (no degeneracy)
- The solution is spherically symmetric.

$$
\psi_{100} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} e^{-Zr/a_0}
$$

What is the probability of finding the electron at a distance $r$?
Second lowest energy solutions

• n=2
• E=-13.6/4=-3.4 eV
• There are four degenerate solutions
• One solution is spherically symmetric.

\[
\psi_{200} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \left( 2 - \frac{Zr}{a_0} \right) e^{-Zr/2a_0} 
\]

• One solution is cylindrically symmetric

\[
\psi_{210} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{Zr}{a_0} \right) e^{-Zr/2a_0} \cos \theta 
\]

• Two solutions are degenerate

\[
\psi_{21\pm1} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{Z}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{Zr}{a_0} \right) e^{-Zr/2a_0} \sin \theta \ e^{\pm i\phi} 
\]
Figure 7-6 The qualitative behavior of the kinetic energy $E$ of a hydrogen atom, as functions of the size, more rapidly than $V$ decreases because $K \propto 1/R$ becomes negligible compared to $V$. As a result, $E$ has a horizontal asymptote (indicated by the mark on the $R$ axis), and at this size, $K$ becomes negligible compared to $V$. The graph shows how $E$ and $V$ vary with $R$ for different values of $n$ and $l$. The horizontal line at $E = V$ represents the threshold for ionization.

Figure 7-5 The radial probability density for the electron in a one-electron atom for $n = 1, 2, 3$ and the values of $l$ shown. The triangle on each abscissa indicates the value of $r_s$ as given by (7-29). For $n = 2$ the plots are redrawn with abscissa and ordinate scales expanded by a factor of 10 to show the behavior of $P_n(r)$ near the origin. Note that in the three cases for which $l = l_{max} = n - 1$ the maximum of $P_n(r)$ occurs at $r_{max} = n^2a_0/Z$, which is indicated by the location of the dashed line.
### Table 7-2: Some Eigenfunctions for the One-Electron Atom

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantum Numbers</th>
<th>Eigenfunctions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>$l$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 7-5: The radial probability density for the electron in a one-electron atom for $n = 1, 2, 3$ and the values of $l$ shown. The triangle on each abscissa indicates the value of $R_n^l$ as given by (7.29). For $n = 2$ the plots are redrawn with abscissa and ordinate scales expanded by a factor of 10 to show the behavior of $P_{nl}(r)$ near the origin. Note that in the three cases for which $l = l_{\max} = n - 1$ the maximum of $P_{nl}(r)$ occurs at $r_{\text{max}} = n^2a_0/Z$, which is indicated by the location of the dashed line.
Polar Dependence

Figure 7-9  Polar diagrams of the directional dependence of the one-electron probability densities for $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4; m_l = \pm l$.  
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Classical Angular Momentum

\[ \vec{L} = r \times \vec{p} \]

\[ L_x = yp_z - zp_y \]

\[ L_y = zp_x - xp_z \]

\[ L_z = zp_x - xp_z \]
Angular momentum
(Cartesian coordinates)

Classical

\[ L = r \times p \]
\[ L_x = yp_z - zp_y \]
\[ L_y = zp_x - xp_z \]
\[ L_z = xp_y - yp_x \]

Quantum Mechanical

\[ \hat{L} = \hat{r} \times \hat{p} \]
\[ \hat{L}_x = -i\hbar(y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) \]
\[ \hat{L}_y = -i\hbar(z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) \]
\[ \hat{L}_z = -i\hbar(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \]
Angular Momentum in Spherical Coordinates

\[ \hat{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} \]

\[ \hat{L} = -i\hbar \vec{r} \times \nabla \]

\[ \hat{L}_x = -i\hbar (\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}) \]

\[ \hat{L}_y = -i\hbar (-\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}) \]

\[ \hat{L}_z = -i\hbar \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \]
What is the z component of angular momentum?

- Calculate the expectation value

\[
\overline{L}_z = \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \psi^* \hat{L}_z \psi
\]

\[
\psi = R_{nl}(r)\Theta_{lm_l} e^{im_l \phi}
\]

\[
\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}
\]

\[
\hat{L}_z \psi = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} e^{im_l \phi} = \hbar m_l e^{im_l \phi}
\]

\[
\overline{L}_z = \int_0^\infty R_{nl}(r)^* R_{nl}(r)r^2 dr \int_0^\pi \Theta_{lm_l}^* \Theta_{lm_l} d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \hbar m_l
\]

\[
\overline{L}_z = \hbar m_l
\]

So, the z component of angular momentum has the average value given above.
What is the total (squared) angular momentum?

- Calculate the expectation value

\[ \overline{L^2} = \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \psi^* \hat{L}^2 \psi \]

\[ \psi = R_{nl}(r) \Theta_{lm} e^{im\phi} \]

\[ \hat{L}^2 = -\hbar^2 \left( \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \phi} \right) \]

\[ \hat{L}^2 \psi = l(l + 1)\hbar^2 \psi \]

\[ \overline{L^2} = l(l + 1)\hbar^2 \]
Vector picture of angular momentum

The arrow has length $\sqrt{2(2 + 1)}$
While the vertical component has length 2,1,0,-1,-2

The average value of $L_xL_y$ is zero.
The energy of the atom does not depend on $m_i$ (i.e., orientation of ang. Momentum).
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Quantization

- We showed that the average value of $L_z$ is $m\hbar$. That doesn’t mean that $L_z$ is quantized.
- However, since

$$\hat{L}_z \psi = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} e^{im_\phi} = \hbar m_l e^{im_\phi}$$

$$\overline{L_z} = \hbar m_l$$

$$\hat{L}_z^2 \psi = -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \phi} e^{im_\phi} = \hbar^2 m_l^2 e^{im_\phi}$$

$$\overline{L_z^2} = \hbar^2 m_l^2$$

- The average of a set can only equal the average of the square of the set if all values are equal. Hence, $L_z$ is quantized.
• In general, if the quantity \( f \) has the value \( F \) in the quantum state described by \( \psi \), then

\[
\hat{f} \psi = F \psi
\]

• Where \( \hat{f} \) is the operator corresponding to \( f \).
• Note:

\[ \hat{L}_x \psi \neq l_x \psi \]
\[ \hat{L}_y \psi \neq l_y \psi \]

• So \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) are not quantized.
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ L_x, L_y \right] &= i\hbar L_z \\
\left[ L_y, L_z \right] &= i\hbar L_x \\
\left[ L_z, L_x \right] &= i\hbar L_y
\end{align*}
\]
• Under what conditions can two or more observable properties of a quantum system have unique eigenvalues for a given quantum state?
• If two operators commute, then the eigenvalues associated with those operators are simultaneous eigenvalues.

• If two operators do not commute, then the eigenvalues associated with those two operators typically exhibit an uncertainty relation.
• If two operators do not commute, then the eigenvalues associated with those two operators typically exhibit an uncertainty relation.

• Exception:

• Sometimes the values are zero. For example for zero total angular momentum, \( L_x=L_y=L_z=0 \)